

PH\_additional information as replies to draft CMR covering 2014 activities (WCPFC-TCC11-2015-dCMR)

WCPFC-TCC11-2015-dCMR\_AddInfo\_PH  
22 Sept 2015

From full draft CMR (WCPFC-TCC11-2015-dCMR01) - posted 3 September 2015				Additional Information provided by CCM post-3 Sept 2015
CMM Paragraph	CMR Section	CCM Implementation	WCPFC Secretariat Evaluation Explanation	
2007-01 14 (vii)	v	These are being discussed during the briefing process.	<p>6 Alleged incidents reported by ROP observers on this issue.</p> <p>2 - Report of operator or crew member; intimidate or interfere with observers in the performance of their duties</p> <p>3 Reports - Request that an event not be reported by the observer</p> <p>1 report - Observer not being accommodated and fed properly</p>	<p>CCM ADDITIONAL INFORMATION</p> <p>On 19 September, PH provided</p> <p>“ additional information to clarify the following issues:</p> <p>(v) CMM 2007-01 14 (vii) -- "Potential compliance issue" -- Observer Obstruction – see Annex 1</p>
CMM 2013-01 14	iv	PPH has implemented 3-month FAD closure for PS. PH also implements FAO 236-3.	<p>July - 1 vessel set on 1 drifting FAD in KI waters</p> <p>Aug - 2 vessels set on 2 FAD (1 drifting &amp; 1 anchored) in PG waters</p> <p>Sept - 1 vessel set on 1 drifting FAD in PG waters</p> <p>Count of the # of HSP-1 Entry/Exit reports received by Secretariat during July-Sept 2014: Entry:&amp;nbsp; 1 report (20 Sept) from 1 vessel (catcher/purse seine) Exit: 1 report (2 July) from 1 vessel (catcher/purse seine)</p>	<p>(iv) CMM 2013-01 14 -- "Potential compliance issue" - FAD sets and – see Annex II</p>

<p>CMM 2013-01 Att C 03</p>	<p>ii</p>		<p>Count of the # of entry/exit reports received by vessel type:</p> <p>Fish Carrier: Entry:359 reports from 71 vessels Exit:334 reports from 68 vessels</p> <p>Mothership: Entry:6 reports from 4 vessels Exit:5 reports from 4 vessels</p> <p>Support Vessels: Entry:115 reports from 77 vessels Exit:104 reports from 88 vessels</p> <p>Purse seiner: Entry:35 reports from 23 vessels Exit:41 reports from 32 vessels</p>	<p>Also resending additional files to clarify (ii) CMM 2013-01 Att C 03 -- "Potential compliance issue" --- Entry &amp; Exit Reports"</p>
-------------------------------------	-----------	--	---	---

**Alleged Observer Obstructions:**

<b>Point</b>	<b>Trip No</b>	<b>Vessel Name</b>	<b>Flag</b>	<b>Trip Start Date</b>	<b>Trip End Date</b>	<b>Report Date</b>	<b>Observer Programme</b>	<b>RS-A</b>	<b>RS-B</b>	<b>RS-D</b>
1	T14-01	DISCOVERY 101	PH	20140813	20140916	20140521	FAOB	-	YES	-
2	T14-01	DISCOVERY 102	PH	20140104	20140210	20150410	PGOB	-	YES	-
3	T14-01	DISCOVERY 105	PH	20140722	20140821	20141103	FAOB	YES	-	-
4	T14-02	DISCOVERY 102	PH	20140219	20140331	20141005	FAOB	YES	YES	-

Point 1. The allegation for Discovery 101 has already been resolved in Madang last December 2014. This case referred to detention of D101 and the Captain. The boat has already settled the fines for these trip periods of D101 last year. Moreover, there is a big inconsistency about this report. The Report date of the Observer was May 21, 2014 which is way ahead (advanced) of the cited trip date of the boat (August 13-September 16, 2014).

Points 2 & 4. The logsheets posted in IFIMS and also submitted to BFAR showed by catches discarded were recorded in details on Jan 6, 8, 29 and February 1, 2, 6, 7, 22, 25, 27, March 5, 10, 20, 23, 26, 29 & 30.

Point 3. Discovery 105 logsheet shows set 4 on July 24 tuna catch was skunk and there was only one (1) silky shark (FAL) by catch and was discarded and accordingly recorded in the logsheet. Set 6 on July 25 shows only 5MT catch of Tuna and there was no need to discard any part while set 10 made on July 27 recorded was skunk hence nothing to discard.

**Alleged FAD sets:**

Point	Vessel Name	Flag	Trip Start Date	Trip End Date	Log Date	Action Time	Set Type	Area
1	DISCOVERY 101	PH	20140813	20140916	20140828	1320	Drifting log	PNG EEZ
2	DISCOVERY 102	PH	20140717	20140808	20140729	1055	Drifting raft	Kiribati EEZ
3	DISCOVERY 105	PH	20140918	20141007	20140919	1433	Drifting raft	PNG EEZ
4	DISCOVERY 105	PH	20140918	20141007	20140928	434	Drifting log	PNG AW (Archipelagic Waters)
5	DISCOVERY 105	PH	20140918	20141007	20141005	452	Drifting log	PNG AW (Archipelagic Waters)
6	DISCOVERY 105	PH	20140918	20141007	20141006	451	Drifting log	PNG AW (Archipelagic Waters)
7	DOLORES 737	PH	20140814	20140907	20140829	459	Anchored raft	PNG EEZ

Point 1. The allegation for Discovery 101 has already been resolved in Madang last December 2014. This case referred to detention of D101 and the Captain. The boat has already settled the fines for these trip periods of D101 last year.

Point 2. The log date July 29, 2014 based on IFIMS and logsheet submitted to BFAR shows Activity Code 2. D102 was searching on that day and no set was made.

Point 3. The Discovery 105 made set late in the afternoon of September 19, 2014. The set made was late in the afternoon (14:23 local time) and could not possibly use log, and the set was skunk (no catch). The Captain also reported in the Purse Seine Log Sheet submitted to BFAR that the School Association Code is # 1 which means “unassociated” or free school.

Point 4,5 & 6. On the other three occasions cited on September 28, October 5 & 6, the vessel is in PNG Archipelagic Waters (AW) for which FAD use was allowed per advise by the National Fisheries Authority (NFA) of PNG.

Point 7. On August 28 set 4 shows school fishing code 1 (Unassociated School). The boats located in EEZ at that time where anchored raft was impossible to find and use. Ranger boats of other companies always guard and take care of their anchored FADS so that these should stay in Archipelagic Waters (AW), hence the allegation of setting on Anchored FAD in the EEZ is unreasonable and baseless.