**PHILIPPINES**

**Paragraph 21 of CMM 2012-02 Compliance Monitoring Scheme states "Each CCM shall include, in its Part 2 Annual Report, any actions it has taken to address its non-compliance in the previous year. The list below shows the 2012 CMR issues that were highlighted in TCC8s provisional CMR report for Philippines- covering 2011 activities**

***Key: A = Compliant, B = Not Applicable, C = Compliance Review***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Category** | **Any issues identified or additional information required for 2011?** | | **CCM Response (*if none received to date*)** | | **Compliance or implementation status** | **AR Part 2 2012 CCM Reponses** |
| 1. **Catch and effort limits** | None | |  | | A |  |
| 1. **Catch and effort reporting** | *2008-01 Bigeye and Yellowfinpara 39: Report effort data for other commercial fisheries.*  “It has been recognized by the  Commission that Philippines and  other members and cooperating  non-members of the Commission may have difficulties complying with this information. Although  Philippines have provided someinformation and continues to improve data on relation to this fisheries.  2010-07 Sharks para 4 Report on key shark species….   * There are reported catches of sharks but need species level identification | | Handlining – catch data is provided but not yet for effort data. | | C | Handline fishery in the PH is not considered under the commercial sector and should not be covered by this CMM. Although catch data for this fishery has been provided, PH recognize difficulty in providing effort data for this fishery. But PH have been trying to improve data gathering to include effort data monitoring for this fishery but on a limited scale (e.g. for General Santos City based handline fishery). |
| 1. **Spatial and temporal closures and restrictions on the use of FADs** | 2008-01 para 23: Submit FAD management Plan   * Philippines has not submitted a FAD management plan to WCPFC * In response to a request from WCPFC for further information on implementation of this requirement: “Newly approvedFAO 244: National FAD Management Policy” * No Philippine flag vessel operating in high seas in 2011 | |  | | B | Attach is FAO 244. National FAD Management Policy |
| 1. **Observer and VMS coverage** | 2009-06 Transshipment: para 13 Vessels must carry ROP observers to observe transshipment at sea   * Information at the Secretariat indicates there were at sea transshipment activities by Philippine flagged longline vessels in 2011 (WCPFC high seas transshipment declarations were received in accordance CMM 2009-06 that named Philippines vessels). * Philippine: “We conform that there were no Philippine flagged vessels operating n the high seas thus there is not need for these fishing vessels to have observers on board to monitor transshipment at sea. As indicated in paragraph 4 of CMM 2009-06 , transshipment within waters under national jurisdiction shall be in compliance with national laws. The only occasion where observers are on board are in accordance with FAO 236. Observer coverage is 100%.   2007-02 Commission VMS para 2:   * Potential compliance or implementation issue and additional information is required - please clarify that all Philippine vessels are complying with WCPFC VMS requirements when operating in the high seas of the Convention Area. * Philippine: We conform that there were no Philippine flagged vessels operating n the high seas thus there is no need for these fishing vessels to have VMS as required by CMM 2007-02 .”   VMS SSPs para 8: CCMs submit all necessary data (VTAF) to the Commission   * Potential compliance or implementation issue and additional information isrequired. Please clarify that all 184 vessels that fished in 2011 in the Convention Area(beyond Philippines national jurisdiction), were complying with WCPFC VMS requirement (Philippine reported 184 vessels fished and 439 vessels did not fish. WCPFC VMS detected 34 Philippine vessels on the high seas in 2011) | | The Philippines does not allow high seas operation but they will investigate possible implementation issue suggested by the data provided by the Secretariat. | | C | Philippines would like to clarify that the statement was referring only to all purse seine vessels.  There were longline boats that conducted transshipment but we reported authorization through email sent thru Prof. Glenn Hurry.  Philippines would like to clarify that the 34 Philippine flag vessels detected by the WCPFC VMS in 2011 were mainly transiting in the high seas. |
| 1. **Provision of scientific data through Part 1 and the Scientific Data to be provided to the Commission** | *Estimates of annual catches for the calendar year:*  SPC advises date submitted 30 Apr& 18 May 2012 (unclear whether these species were covered in the provisional catch estimates submitted)  Some shark data was reported in Pt 1 2012 but this was incomplete and did not cover all key shark species individually.   * Capacity building needed to educate fishers on different key shark species and to be able to differentiate different kinds of shark species to their report. Operational level catch and effort data:   No operational level catch and effort dataprovided for Philippines, except 2004 dataprovided for Philippines domestic purse  seine vessels Philippines is a participant in the WPEA-OFM Project which has one of its aim to improve data collection in Philippines fisheries.   * 2004 Philippine PS/RN operational data were submitted to WCPFC/SPC for appraisal & determination of high seas days effort, latest appraisal for these operational logsheets data were received by Philippines on November 2011. In 2008, Philippines started to initiate collection of PS/RN logsheets data through the WPEA-OFMP but further work is needed to address domestic constraints. *Note: It is also recognized that certain members and cooperating non-members of the Commission may have practical difficulties in compiling operational data for fleets comprised of small vessels, such as certain sectors of the fisheries of Indonesia, the Philippines and small island developing states.* | |  | | C | YES  Philippines would like to request shark ID guide to educate fishers and enumerators.  PH provides available operational data to SPC to be included in the SA analysis |
|  |  |
| **Provisional Assessment of CCM’s Compliance** | ***Compliance Review*** |  | |