|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **SciData 01**  **(v) Provision of Scientific data and Annual Report Part 1** | | Estimates of annual catches | | | | |
| **Applicable CCMs** | **2011 Assessment** | **2012 Implementation** | **SPC Advice (Evaluation Explanation Column)** | **Potential issues** | **TCC Assessment** |
| Australia |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = 30 April 2013 gear types covered = LL, PS, PL, HL,TR tuna species covered =   ALB, BET, SKJ, YFT,  billfish species covered = BLM, BUM, MLS, SWO shark species covered = BSH, FAL, HAM, MAK, OCS, POR, THR Discards provided = YES | Assessment of Submission of Annual Catch Estimates for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   Were annual catch estimates received by the deadline = YES   Tuna annual catch estimates= no issues detected   Billfish annual catch estimates= no issues detected   Coverage of required reporting areas = no issues detected.  Australia has fishing activities distributed only in the south Pacific part of the WCPF Convention Area   Sharks = SPC advised: Estimates of all KEY shark species have been provided in AGGREGATE catch/effort data, OPERATIONAL catch/effort data or OBSERVER data provisions.     Discards = SPC advised: YES - but estimates of DISCARDs provided in AGGREGATE catch/effort data, OPERATIONAL catch/effort data or OBSERVER data provisions   Details on Statistical methods used = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | No issues detected |  |
| Belize | SPC advise: estimates of shark catch by species have not been provided. However, a total shark catch was provided in Pt 1 2012. • Additional information needed  Estimates of discards • Additional information needed  *Letter dated 13 Nov 2012: In our 2011 annual report of catch and data and size composition in weight, we stated that the vessel caught by-catches of Blue shark. This was further detailed by the catches made each month of this shark specie. A total amount of 10.611 metric tons was caught for the year.  Estimates of discards*  *We are in the process of implementing new measures for the obtainment/submission of information on discards.* | SPC advice on submissions for 2012 (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt =   1 May 2013 gear types covered =  LL tuna species covered = ALB, BET, YFT, SKJ billfish species covered = BLM, BUM, MLS, SWO shark species covered = BSH, FAL, HAM, MAK, OCS, POR, THR Discards provided= YES (but not for BLM, FAL, HAM, MAK, OCS, PBF, POR, SKJ, THR)       *Belize confirms that the only long line vessel which operated in 2012 did not target sharks are a main target specie but caught sharks as a by-catch or incidental catch. As such only a low number of sharks were caught. Information on this by-catch has been provided to the Commission.* | Assessment of Submission of Annual Catch Estimates for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   Were annual catch estimates received by the deadline = NO Tuna annual catch estimates= no issues detected Billfish annual catch estimates= no issues detected    **Coverage of required reporting areas = CCM has not provided annual catch estimates for all geographical areas**   **Sharks = SPC advised estimates of shark catch by SPECIES provided, but not for all KEY species taken by this fleet.**   **Discards = provided for some but not all (not for BLM, FAL, HAM, MAK, OCS, PBF, POR, SKJ, THR)**   Details on Statistical methods used = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | Potential compliance issue |  |
| Canada |  | SPC advice on submissions for 2012 (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt =   14 March 2013   gear types covered =  TR tuna species covered = NP ALB Discards provided= N/A For additional details please see attachment that provides supporting information | Assessment of Submission of Annual Catch Estimates for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   Were annual catch estimates received by the deadline = YES    Tuna annual catch estimates= no issues detected   Billfish annual catch estimates= N/A Coverage of required reporting areas = no issues detected  Sharks = N/A  Discards = N/A   Details on Statistical methods used = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | No issues detected |  |
| Cook Islands |  | SPC advice on submissions for 2012 (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt =   19 Apr 2013 Provisional estimates initially provided   gear types covered = LL, TR   tuna species covered = ALB, BET, YFT, SKJ, PBF  billfish species covered = Black Marlin (BLM), Blue Marlin (BUM), Striped Marlin (MLS), Swordfish (SWO)   shark species covered = Blue shark (BSH), Silky shark (FAL), hammerhead sharks (HAM), mako sharks (MAK), oceanic white-tip sharks (OCS), porbeagle sharks (POR), thresher sharks (THR)  Estimates of all KEY shark species have been provided in AGGREGATE catch/effort data, OPERATIONAL catch/effort data or OBSERVER data provisions   Discards provided= Estimates of DISCARDs provided in AGGREGATE catch/effort data, OPERATIONAL catch/effort data or OBSERVER data provisions | Assessment of Submission of Annual Catch Estimates for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   Were annual catch estimates received by the deadline = YES.  Provisional estimates initially provided   Tuna annual catch estimates= no issues detected   Billfish annual catch estimates= no issues detected    Coverage of required reporting areas = no issues detected   Sharks = SPC advised: iEstimates of all KEY shark species have been provided in AGGREGATE catch/effort data, OPERATIONAL catch/effort data or OBSERVER data provisions   Discards = SPC advised: iEstimates of DISCARDs provided in AGGREGATE catch/effort data, OPERATIONAL catch/effort data or OBSERVER data provisions   Details on Statistical methods used = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | No issues detected |  |
| China |  | SPC advice on submissions for 2012 (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt =  28 Apr 2013   gear types covered = LL, PS   tuna species covered = ALB, BET, YFT, PBF, SKJ   billfish species covered =BLM, BUM, MLS, SWO   shark species covered = BSH, FAL, HAM, MAK, OCS, POR, THR   Discards provided= NO    *Coverage of reporting areas: all areas Sharks: all major shark species by WCPFC and other t-RFMOs in included in Chinese LL logbooks and such data is collected and reported to the Commission. Discard: we shall provide discard data in the future* | Assessment of Submission of Annual Catch Estimates for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   Were annual catch estimates received by the deadline = YES   Tuna annual catch estimates= no issues detected Billfish annual catch estimates= no issues detected    **Coverage of required reporting areas = CCM has not provided annual catch estimates for all geographical areas**   **Sharks = SPC advised: estimates of shark catch by SPECIES provided, but not for all KEY species taken by this fleeet**   **Discards = SPC advised: Estimates of DISCARDs not provided**   Details on Statistical methods used = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | Potential compliance issue |  |
| Ecuador |  | SPC advice on submissions for 2012 (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt =   28 Apr 2013, 8 June 2013 Provisional estimates initially provided   gear types covered = PS   tuna species covered = ALB, BET, SKJ, YFT,  billfish species covered = BLM, BUM, MLS, SWO  shark species covered = BSH, FAL, HAM, MAK, OCS, POR, THR  Discards provided = YES (for BET, BLM, BUM, SKJ, YFT) | Assessment of Submission of Annual Catch Estimates for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   Were annual catch estimates received by the deadline = YES   Tuna annual catch estimates= no issues detected    Billfish annual catch estimates= no issues detected    Coverage of required reporting areas = no issues detected    Sharks = no issues detected   Discards = no issues detected    Details on Statistical methods used = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | No issues detected |  |
| Fiji |  | SPC advice on submissions for 2012 (as at 17 July 2013)  date of receipt = 19 Apr 2013  gear types covered = LL, PL   tuna species covered = ALB, BET, YFT, SKJ, PBF  billfish species covered = Black Marlin (BLM), Blue Marlin (BUM), Striped Marlin (MLS), Swordfish (SWO)  shark species covered = Blue shark (BSH), Silky shark (FAL), hammerhead sharks (HAM), mako sharks (MAK), oceanic white-tip sharks (OCS), porbeagle sharks (POR), thresher sharks (THR) Estimates of all KEY shark species have been provided in AGGREGATE catch/effort data, OPERATIONAL catch/effort data or OBSERVER data provisions   Discards = SPC advise Estimates of DISCARDs provided in AGGREGATE catch/effort data, OPERATIONAL catch/effort data or OBSERVER data provisions | Assessment of Submission of Annual Catch Estimates for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   Were annual catch estimates received by the deadline = YES   Tuna annual catch estimates= SPC advised Provisional estimates initially provided Billfish annual catch estimates= SPC advised Provisional estimates initially provided Coverage of required reporting areas = no issues detected    Sharks = SPC advised: Estimates of all KEY shark species have been provided in AGGREGATE catch/effort data, OPERATIONAL catch/effort data or OBSERVER data provisions.    Discards = SPC advised: Estimates of DISCARDs provided in AGGREGATE catch/effort data, OPERATIONAL catch/effort data or OBSERVER data provisions   Details on Statistical methods used = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | No issues detected |  |
| Federated States of Micronesia |  | SPC advice on submissions for 2012 (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt =  19 Apr 2013   gear types covered =  LL, PS   tuna species covered = ALB, BET, YFT, SKJ, PBF  billfish species covered = Black Marlin (BLM), Blue Marlin (BUM), Striped Marlin (MLS), Swordfish (SWO)  shark species covered = Blue shark (BSH), Silky shark (FAL), hammerhead sharks (HAM), mako sharks (MAK), oceanic white-tip sharks (OCS), porbeagle sharks (POR), thresher sharks (THR)   Discards provided= SPC advised, Estimates of DISCARDs provided in AGGREGATE catch/effort data, OPERATIONAL catch/effort data or OBSERVER data provisions    Provisional estimates initially provided | Assessment of Submission of Annual Catch Estimates for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   Were annual catch estimates received by the deadline = YES   Tuna annual catch estimates= no issues detected   Billfish annual catch estimates= no issues detected    Coverage of required reporting areas = no issues detected     Sharks = SPC advised:  Estimates of all KEY shark species have been provided in AGGREGATE catch/effort data, OPERATIONAL catch/effort data or OBSERVER data provisions   SPC advised Estimates of DISCARDs provided in AGGREGATE catch/effort data, OPERATIONAL catch/effort data or OBSERVER data provisions   Details on Statistical methods used = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | No issues detected |  |
| Indonesia | Estimates of annual catches for the calendar year: 7 shark species • Sharks were not included in Pt 1 2012. • No data reported  Estimates of discards • Please provide additional information or clarify whether statement on 2010 CMR still applies in 2011. • No data collected   *Indonesia is still developing the logbook system. Therefore, the data and information that required is not still covered.* | SPC advice on submissions for 2012 (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt =   30 Apr, 20 June 2013 Provisional estimates initially provided   gear types covered =  LL, PS, OT tuna species covered = ALB, BET, YFT, SKJ, PBF billfish species covered = Black Marlin (BLM), Blue Marlin (BUM), Striped Marlin (MLS), Swordfish (SWO) shark species covered = Blue shark (BSH), Silky shark (FAL), hammerhead sharks (HAM), mako sharks (MAK), oceanic white-tip sharks (OCS), porbeagle sharks (POR), thresher sharks (THR) Discards provided= NO.  SPC advised, estimates of DISCARDs not provided | Assessment of Submission of Annual Catch Estimates for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   Were annual catch estimates received by the deadline = YES (provisional estimates initially provide)   Tuna annual catch estimates= no issues detected  Billfish annual catch estimates= no issues detected  Coverage of required reporting areas = no issues detected   **Sharks = SPC advised: estimates of shark catch by species have NOT been provided**   **Discards = SPC advised: estimates of DISCARDs not provided, but some provided for LL.**   Details on Statistical methods used = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | Potential compliance issue |  |
| Japan | Estimates of discards:  No discard estimates for sharks provided • In relation to the Scientific data rules Japan noted this is not mandatory. Japan provided scientific data in accordance with the rules. The Scientific Data rule para. 5 states discard data “should” be provided therefore, JP is of the view that this is not mandatory. | SPC advice on submissions for 2012 (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt =   27 Apr 2013   gear types covered =  PS, LL, PL, TR, OT   tuna species covered = ALB, BET, YFT, SKJ, PBF  billfish species covered = Black Marlin (BLM), Blue Marlin (BUM), Striped Marlin (MLS), Swordfish (SWO)  shark species covered = Blue shark (BSH), Silky shark (FAL), hammerhead sharks (HAM), mako sharks (MAK), oceanic white-tip sharks (OCS), porbeagle sharks (POR), thresher sharks (THR)   Discards provided= NO.  SPC advised, Estimates of DISCARDs not provided | Assessment of Submission of Annual Catch Estimates for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   Were annual catch estimates received by the deadline = YES   Tuna annual catch estimates= no issues detected Billfish annual catch estimates= no issues detected Coverage of required reporting areas = no issues detected    **Sharks = SPC advised: Estimates of shark catch by SPECIES provided, but not for all KEY species taken by this fleet   Discards = SPC advised, Estimates of DISCARDs not provided**   Details on Statistical methods used = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | Potential compliance issue |  |
| Kiribati |  | SPC advice on submissions for 2012 (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt =   19 Apr 2013   gear types covered = LL, PS, OT   tuna species covered = ALB, BET, YFT, SKJ, PBF  billfish species covered = Black Marlin (BLM), Blue Marlin (BUM), Striped Marlin (MLS), Swordfish (SWO)  shark species covered = Blue shark (BSH), Silky shark (FAL), hammerhead sharks (HAM), mako sharks (MAK), oceanic white-tip sharks (OCS), porbeagle sharks (POR), thresher sharks (THR)   Discards provided= YES | Assessment of Submission of Annual Catch Estimates for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   Were annual catch estimates received by the deadline = YES   Tuna annual catch estimates= no issues detected  Billfish annual catch estimates= no issues detected  Coverage of required reporting areas = no issues detected  Sharks = SPC advised, estimates of all KEY shark species have been provided in AGGREGATE catch/effort data, OPERATIONAL catch/effort data or OBSERVER data provisions   Discards = no issues detected    Details on Statistical methods used = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | No issues detected |  |
| Korea (Republic of) |  | SPC advice on submissions for 2012 (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt =   30 Apr 2013   gear types covered =  LL, PS   ttuna species covered = ALB, BET, YFT, SKJ, PBF  billfish species covered = Black Marlin (BLM), Blue Marlin (BUM), Striped Marlin (MLS), Swordfish (SWO)  shark species covered = Blue shark (BSH), Silky shark (FAL), hammerhead sharks (HAM), mako sharks (MAK), oceanic white-tip sharks (OCS), porbeagle sharks (POR), thresher sharks (THR)   Discards provided= Estimates of DISCARDs provided in AGGREGATE catch/effort data,  OPERATIONAL catch/effort data or OBSERVER data provisions | Assessment of Submission of Annual Catch Estimates for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   Were annual catch estimates received by the deadline = YES    Tuna annual catch estimates= no issues detected   Billfish annual catch estimates= no issues detected    Coverage of required reporting areas = no issues detected    Sharks = no issues detected    Discards = SPC advised: Estimates of DISCARDs provided in AGGREGATE catch/effort data,  OPERATIONAL catch/effort data or OBSERVER data provisions   Details on Statistical methods used = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | No issues detected |  |
| Marshall Islands |  | SPC advice on submissions for 2012 (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt =   19 Apr 2013 Provisional estimates initially provided   gear types covered =  LL, PS tuna species covered = ALB, BET, YFT, SKJ, PBF  billfish species covered = Black Marlin (BLM), Blue Marlin (BUM), Striped Marlin (MLS), Swordfish (SWO)  shark species covered = Blue shark (BSH), Silky shark (FAL), hammerhead sharks (HAM), mako sharks (MAK), oceanic white-tip sharks (OCS), porbeagle sharks (POR), thresher sharks (THR)   Discards provided= YES/ NO from excel spreadsheet | Assessment of Submission of Annual Catch Estimates for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   Were annual catch estimates received by the deadline = YES SPC advised: Provisional estimates initially provided   Tuna annual catch estimates= no issues detected   Billfish annual catch estimates= no issues detected    Coverage of required reporting areas = no issues detected   Sharks = SPC advised: Estimates of all KEY shark species have been provided in AGGREGATE catch/effort data, OPERATIONAL catch/effort data or OBSERVER data provisions   Discards = SPC advised: Estimates of DISCARDs provided in AGGREGATE catch/effort data, OPERATIONAL catch/effort data or OBSERVER data provisions   Details on Statistical methods used = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | No issues detected |  |
| New Caledonia |  | SPC advice on submissions for 2012 (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt =  30 April 2013 gear types covered = LL   tuna species covered = ALB, BET, YFT, SKJ, PBF billfish species covered = Black Marlin (BLM), Blue Marlin (BUM), Striped Marlin (MLS), Swordfish (SWO) shark species covered = Blue shark (BSH), Silky shark (FAL), hammerhead sharks (HAM), mako sharks (MAK), oceanic white-tip sharks (OCS), porbeagle sharks (POR), thresher sharks (THR) Discards provided= YES | Assessment of Submission of Annual Catch Estimates for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   Were annual catch estimates received by the deadline = YES   Tuna annual catch estimates= no issues detected Billfish annual catch estimates= no issues detected Coverage of required reporting areas = no issues detected Sharks = estimates of all key species have been provided in  AGGREGATE catch/effort data, Operational catch/effort data or observer data.   Discards = estimates provided in AGGREGATE catch/effort data, Operational catch/effort data or observer data.   Details on Statistical methods used = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | No issues detected |  |
| New Zealand |  | SPC advice on submissions for 2012 (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt =   30 Apr 2013   gear types covered =  LL, PS, TR, PL   tuna species covered = ALB, BET, YFT, SKJ, PBF  billfish species covered = Black Marlin (BLM), Blue Marlin (BUM), Striped Marlin (MLS), Swordfish (SWO)  shark species covered = Blue shark (BSH), Silky shark (FAL), hammerhead sharks (HAM), mako sharks (MAK), oceanic white-tip sharks (OCS), porbeagle sharks (POR), thresher sharks (THR)   Discards provided= SPC advise, Estimates of DISCARDs provided in AGGREGATE catch/effort data, OPERATIONAL catch/effort data or OBSERVER data provisions | Assessment of Submission of Annual Catch Estimates for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   Were annual catch estimates received by the deadline = YES    Tuna annual catch estimates= no issues detected    Billfish annual catch estimates= n/a   Coverage of required reporting areas = no issues detected   Sharks = SPC advised, Estimates of all KEY shark species have been provided in AGGREGATE catch/effort data, OPERATIONAL catch/effort data or OBSERVER data provisions   Discards = SPC advise, Estimates of DISCARDs provided in AGGREGATE catch/effort data, OPERATIONAL catch/effort data or OBSERVER data provisions   Details on Statistical methods used = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | No issues detected |  |
| French Polynesia |  | SPC advice on submissions for 2012 (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt =   26 Apr 2013 gear types covered =  LL, PL, OT   tuna species covered = ALB, BET, YFT, SKJ, PBF  billfish species covered = Black Marlin (BLM), Blue Marlin (BUM), Striped Marlin (MLS), Swordfish (SWO)  shark species covered = Blue shark (BSH), Silky shark (FAL), hammerhead sharks (HAM), mako sharks (MAK), oceanic white-tip sharks (OCS), porbeagle sharks (POR), thresher sharks (THR)   Discards provided= Estimates of DISCARDs provided in AGGREGATE catch/effort data, OPERATIONAL catch/effort data or OBSERVER data provisions | Assessment of Submission of Annual Catch Estimates for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   Were annual catch estimates received by the deadline = YES   Tuna annual catch estimates= no issues detected  Billfish annual catch estimates= no issues detected  Coverage of required reporting areas = no issues detected    Sharks = SPC advised: Estimates of all KEY shark species have been provided in AGGREGATE catch/effort data, OPERATIONAL catch/effort data or OBSERVER data provisions   Discards = SPC advised: estimates of DISCARDs provided in AGGREGATE catch/effort data, OPERATIONAL catch/effort data or OBSERVER data provisions   Details on Statistical methods used = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | No issues detected |  |
| Papua New Guinea |  | SPC advice on submissions for 2012 (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt =  19 Apr 2013   gear types covered =  LL, PS tuna species covered = ALB, BET, YFT, SKJ, PBF  billfish species covered = Black Marlin (BLM), Blue Marlin (BUM), Striped Marlin (MLS), Swordfish (SWO)  shark species covered = Blue shark (BSH), Silky shark (FAL), hammerhead sharks (HAM), mako sharks (MAK), oceanic white-tip sharks (OCS), porbeagle sharks (POR), thresher sharks (THR)   Discards provided= Estimates of DISCARDs provided in AGGREGATE catch/effort data, OPERATIONAL catch/effort data or OBSERVER data provisions | Assessment of Submission of Annual Catch Estimates for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   Were annual catch estimates received by the deadline = YES / NO Tuna annual catch estimates= no issues detected / SPC advised: insert notes from summary table Billfish annual catch estimates= no issues detected / SPC advised: insert notes from summary table Coverage of required reporting areas = no issues detected / summary based on review of excel spreadsheet   Sharks = SPC advised: Estimates of all KEY shark species have been provided in AGGREGATE catch/effort data, OPERATIONAL catch/effort data or OBSERVER data provisions   Discards = SPC advised: Estimates of DISCARDs provided in AGGREGATE catch/effort data, OPERATIONAL catch/effort data or OBSERVER data provisions   Details on Statistical methods used = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | No issues detected |  |
| Philippines | Estimates of annual catches for the calendar year: SPC advises date submitted 30 Apr& 18 May 2012 (unclear whetherthese species were covered inthe provisional catch estimates submitted)  Some shark data was reported in Pt 1 2012 but this was incomplete and did not cover all key shark species individually. • Capacity building needed to educate fishers on different key shark species and to be able to differentiate different kinds of shark species to their report.  *YES  Philippines would like to request shark ID guide to educate fishers and enumerators*. | SPC advice on submissions for 2012 (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt =    19 Apr, 20 May 2013 Provisional estimates intially provided gear types covered =  PS, HL, RN, OT   tuna species covered = ALB, BET, YFT, SKJ, PBF  billfish species covered = Black Marlin (BLM), Blue Marlin (BUM), Striped Marlin (MLS), Swordfish (SWO)  shark species covered = Blue shark (BSH), Silky shark (FAL), hammerhead sharks (HAM), mako sharks (MAK), oceanic white-tip sharks (OCS), porbeagle sharks (POR), thresher sharks (THR)   Discards provided= SPC advise, estimates of DISCARDs not provided For additional details please see attachment that provides supporting information   *PH Annual report part 1 Table 6 gives details on LL catches. PH will also note that all catches are retained and utilized.* | Assessment of Submission of Annual Catch Estimates for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   Were annual catch estimates received by the deadline = YES   **Tuna annual catch estimates= not provided for the longline fisheries, provided for other gear types.**   **Billfish annual catch estimates= not provided for the longline fisheries, and some gaps across a range of other gear types but some provided**   **Coverage of required reporting areas = some gaps, and where provided mainly WCPFC only**   **Sharks = not provided for longline and some gaps in other gear types**   **Discards = SPC advise, estimates of DISCARDs not provided**   Details on Statistical methods used = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | Potential compliance issue |  |
| Solomon Islands |  | SPC advice on submissions for 2012 (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt =   19 April 2013 Provisional estimates initially provided for LL   gear types covered =  LL, PS, PL ALB, BET, YFT, SKJ, PBF billfish species covered = Black Marlin (BLM), Blue Marlin (BUM), Striped Marlin (MLS), Swordfish (SWO) shark species covered = Blue shark (BSH), Silky shark (FAL), hammerhead sharks (HAM), mako sharks (MAK), oceanic white-tip sharks (OCS), porbeagle sharks (POR), thresher sharks (THR) Discards provided= NO | Assessment of Submission of Annual Catch Estimates for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   Were annual catch estimates received by the deadline = YES    Tuna annual catch estimates= no issues detected Billfish annual catch estimates= no issues detected Coverage of required reporting areas = no issues detected Sharks = no issues detected  Discards = provided in aggregated catch/effort data, operation catch/effort data or observer data    Details on Statistical methods used = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | No issues detected |  |
| El Salvador | Estimates of annual catches for the calendar year: BET, SKJ, YFT, Blue Marlin, Black Marlin: • Catch figures reported in Pt 1 2012 are for all species combined - SPC advise that it has received BET, SKJ, YFT data but not for the marlin species and sharks *Black Marlin: 22 Blue Marlin 16*  ALB, Striped Marlin, SWO, PBT: • Catch figures reported in Pt 1 2012 are for all species combined  No shark data provided in Pt 1 2012 • More information needed  No information on estimates of discards • More information needed | SPC advice on submissions for 2012 (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt =  23 Aor 2013   tuna species covered = ALB, BET, SKJ, YFT,  billfish species covered = BLM, BUM, MLS, SWO  shark species covered = BSH, FAL, HAM, MAK, OCS, POR, THR   Discards provided= YES (for BET, BLM, BUM, SKJ, YFT) | Assessment of Submission of Annual Catch Estimates for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   Were annual catch estimates received by the deadline = YES   Tuna annual catch estimates= no issues detected    Billfish annual catch estimates= no issues detected    Coverage of required reporting areas = no issues detected   Sharks = no issues detected    Discards = provided (for BET, SKJ, YFT)   Details on Statistical methods used = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | No issues detected |  |
| Tonga |  | SPC advice on submissions for 2012 (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt =   30 Apr 2013 gear types covered =  LL, tuna species covered = ALB, BET, YFT, SKJ, PBF billfish species covered = Black Marlin (BLM), Blue Marlin (BUM), Striped Marlin (MLS), Swordfish (SWO) shark species covered = Blue shark (BSH), Silky shark (FAL), hammerhead sharks (HAM), mako sharks (MAK), oceanic white-tip sharks (OCS), porbeagle sharks (POR), thresher sharks (THR) Discards provided= YES | Assessment of Submission of Annual Catch Estimates for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   Were annual catch estimates received by the deadline = YES   Tuna annual catch estimates= no issues detected Billfish annual catch estimates= no issues detected Coverage of required reporting areas = no issues detected Sharks = estimates of all key species have been provided in  AGGREGATE catch/effort data, Operational catch/effort data or observer data.   Discards = estimates provided in AGGREGATE catch/effort data, Operational catch/effort data or observer data.    Details on Statistical methods used = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | No issues detected |  |
| Tuvalu |  | SPC advice on submissions for 2012 (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt =   19 Apr 2013 gear types covered =  LL, PS tuna species covered = ALB, BET, YFT, SKJ, PBF billfish species covered = Black Marlin (BLM), Blue Marlin (BUM), Striped Marlin (MLS), Swordfish (SWO) shark species covered = Blue shark (BSH), Silky shark (FAL), hammerhead sharks (HAM), mako sharks (MAK), oceanic white-tip sharks (OCS), porbeagle sharks (POR), thresher sharks (THR)  Discards provided= YES | Assessment of Submission of Annual Catch Estimates for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   Were annual catch estimates received by the deadline = YES    Tuna annual catch estimates= no issues detected  Billfish annual catch estimates= no issues detected  Coverage of required reporting areas = no issues detected Sharks = estimates of all key species have been provided in  AGGREGATE catch/effort data, Operational catch/effort data or observer data.   Discards = estimates provided in AGGREGATE catch/effort data, Operational catch/effort data or observer data.    some gaps in TROLL fisheries, but probably small-scale domestic coastal fisheries so not evaluated   Details on Statistical methods used = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | No issues detected |  |
| Chinese Taipei |  | SPC advice on submissions for 2012 (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt =   30 Apr 2013 gear types covered =  LL, PS   tuna species covered = ALB, BET, YFT, SKJ, PBF  billfish species covered = Black Marlin (BLM), Blue Marlin (BUM), Striped Marlin (MLS), Swordfish (SWO)  shark species covered = Blue shark (BSH), Silky shark (FAL), hammerhead sharks (HAM), mako sharks (MAK), oceanic white-tip sharks (OCS), porbeagle sharks (POR), thresher sharks (THR) Discards provided= YES | Assessment of Submission of Annual Catch Estimates for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   Were annual catch estimates received by the deadline = YES   Tuna annual catch estimates= no issues detected   Billfish annual catch estimates= no issues detected    Coverage of required reporting areas = no issues detected   Sharks = no issues detected Discards = no issues detected    Details on Statistical methods used = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | No issues detected |  |
| United States of America |  | SPC advice on submissions for 2012 (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt =   29 April 2013 gear types covered =  LL, PS, TR, PL tuna species covered = ALB, BET, SKJ, YFT, PBF billfish species covered = Black Marlin (BLM), Blue Marlin (BUM), Striped Marlin (MLS), Swordfish (SWO) shark species covered = Blue shark (BSH), Silky shark (FAL), hammerhead sharks (HAM), mako sharks (MAK), oceanic white-tip sharks (OCS), porbeagle sharks (POR), thresher sharks (THR)  Discards provided= YES (for longline) | Assessment of Submission of Annual Catch Estimates for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   Were annual catch estimates received by the deadline = YES Tuna annual catch estimates= no issues detected Billfish annual catch estimates= no issues detected  Sharks = Coverage of required reporting areas = no issues detected / summary based on review of excel spreadsheet estimates of all key species have been provided in  AGGREGATE catch/effort data, Operational catch/effort data or observer data.   Discards = estimates provided in AGGREGATE catch/effort data, Operational catch/effort data or observer data.   Details on Statistical methods used = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | No issues detected |  |
| Vanuatu |  | SPC advice on submissions for 2012 (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt =   19 April 2013 gear types covered =  LL, PS tuna species covered = ALB, BET, YFT, SKJ, PBF billfish species covered = Black Marlin (BLM), Blue Marlin (BUM), Striped Marlin (MLS), Swordfish (SWO) shark species covered = Blue shark (BSH), Silky shark (FAL), hammerhead sharks (HAM), mako sharks (MAK), oceanic white-tip sharks (OCS), porbeagle sharks (POR), thresher sharks (THR) Discards provided= YES | Assessment of Submission of Annual Catch Estimates for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   Were annual catch estimates received by the deadline = YES Tuna annual catch estimates= no issues detected Billfish annual catch estimates= no issues detected  Coverage of required reporting areas = no issues detected Sharks = estimates of all key species have been provided in  AGGREGATE catch/effort data, Operational catch/effort data or observer data.    Discards = estimates provided in AGGREGATE catch/effort data, Operational catch/effort data or observer data.      Details on Statistical methods used = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | No issues detected |  |
| Samoa |  | SPC advice on submissions for 2012 (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt =   19 April 2013 gear types covered =  LL tuna species covered = ALB, BET, YFT, SKJ, PBF billfish species covered = Black Marlin (BLM), Blue Marlin (BUM), Striped Marlin (MLS), Swordfish (SWO) shark species covered = Blue shark (BSH), Silky shark (FAL), hammerhead sharks (HAM), mako sharks (MAK), oceanic white-tip sharks (OCS), porbeagle sharks (POR), thresher sharks (THR) Discards provided= YES | Assessment of Submission of Annual Catch Estimates for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   Were annual catch estimates received by the deadline = YES    Tuna annual catch estimates= no issues detected Billfish annual catch estimates= no issues detected Coverage of required reporting areas = no issues detected Sharks = estimates of all key species have been provided in  AGGREGATE catch/effort data, Operational catch/effort data or observer data.   Discards = estimates provided in AGGREGATE catch/effort data, Operational catch/effort data or observer data.    Details on Statistical methods used = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | No issues detected |  |
| European Union |  | SPC advice on submissions for 2012 (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt =   25 May 2013 for Portugese LL (Provisional estimates initially provided) date of receipt =   18 May 2013 for Spanish LL;  30 Apr 2013 for Spanish PS   gear types covered: Portugal = LL Spain = LL, PS   tuna species covered = ALB, BET, YFT, SKJ, PBF billfish species covered = Black Marlin (BLM), Blue Marlin (BUM), Striped Marlin (MLS), Swordfish (SWO)   shark species covered = Blue shark (BSH), Silky shark (FAL), hammerhead sharks (HAM), mako sharks (MAK), oceanic white-tip sharks (OCS), porbeagle sharks (POR), thresher sharks (THR)  Discards provided= Estimates of DISCARDs not provided | Assessment of Submission of Annual Catch Estimates for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   **Were annual catch estimates received by the deadline = NO (for LL fleet)**   Tuna annual catch estimates= no issues detected  **Billfish annual catch estimates= SPC advised that some gaps Coverage of required reporting areas = SPC advised that some gaps** Sharks = no issues detected / SPC advised: insert notes from summary table.    **Discards = SPC advised: Estimates of DISCRDs not provided**   Details on Statistical methods used = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | Potential compliance issue |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **SciData 02**  **(v) Provision of Scientific data and Annual Report Part 1** | Number of vessels active |

| **Applicable CCMs** | **2011 Assessment** | **2012 Implementation** | **SPC Advice (Evaluation Explanation Column)** | **Compliance Evaluation** | **TCC Assessment** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Australia |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = 30 April 2013 Purse Seine = 1 0-500 GRT= 1 501-1000 GRT= 0 1001 - 1500 GRT = 0 1500+ = 0   Longline= 44 0-50 GRT = 22 51 - 200 GRT = 21  201 - 500 GRT = 1 500 + = 0   Not provided: Other gear types but catch approx 56 Mt   Fish/Did not fish report received:  18 March 2013.  In 2012 110 vessels were on the WCPFC RFV flagged to this CCM: 53 vessels were reported to have fished within the Convention Area beyond this CCMs area under national jurisdiction. 7 Purse seine: 0 fished 79 Longline: 45 fished  0 carrier/bunkers: 24 Other gears: 8 vessels fished   AR Pt 1(2013): Purse Seine = 1 0-500 GRT= 1 501-1000 GRT= 0 1001 - 1500 GRT = 0 1500+ = 0 Longline= 44 0-50 GRT = 22 51 - 200 GRT = 21 201 - 500 GRT = 1 500 + = 0 | Assessment of Submission of Number of Vessels Active for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013).   A vessel is considered to be active if it fished (targeting highly migratory fish stocks) at least one day during the year.     Were Number of Vessels active received by the deadline = YES   Purse seine = no issues detected Longline = no issues detected Pole and line and troll vessels = GRT category were not provided for 2012, however catches were 56Mt. | No issues detected |  |
| Belize |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = 1 May 2013   Longline= 1 0-50 GRT = 0 51 - 200 GRT = 0 201 - 500 GRT = 1 500 + = 0   Belize had 10 LL and 1 fished in 2012    *This information was provided to the Commission in Belize's catch and effort and size data for the year 2012 together with the number of vessels which operated in the area.* | Assessment of Submission of Number of Vessels Active for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013).   A vessel is considered to be active if it fished (targeting highly migratory fish stocks) at least one day during the year.    **Were Number of Vessels active received by the deadline = NO**   Longline = no issues detected | Potential compliance issue |  |
| Canada |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = 14 March 2013 Troll = none WCPFC NP= none WCPFC SP= none SPO = none   Canada had 23 vessels on the RFV in 2012 and 2 fished.   AR Pt 1, 2013 Table 2 report the number of Canadian troll vessels active in the WCPFC-CA in: North Pacific = 175 NP WCPFC-Statistical Area = 2 | Assessment of Submission of Number of Vessels Active for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013).   A vessel is considered to be active if it fished (targeting highly migratory fish stocks) at least one day during the year.    Were Number of Vessels active received by the deadline = NO   The numbers reported in AR Pt 1 2013 appear to be more current than the advice from SPC (as at 17 July 2013). | No issues detected |  |
| Cook Islands |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = 19 Apr 2013   Longline= 24 0-50 GRT = 2 51 - 200 GRT = 19 201 - 500 GRT = 3 500 + = 0   Fish/Did not fish report received: 22 June 2013  In 2012 27 fishing vessels were on WCPFC RFV flagged to this CCM: 4 vessels were reported to have fished within the Convention Area beyond this CCMs area under national jurisdiction..  19 Longline: 4 fished    AR Pt 1, 2013 Table 4 reports number of active CK and charter flagged longline vessels by size and authorized area within the WCPFC-CA: Longline= 34 10 - 50 = 23 50 - 200 = 11 | Assessment of Submission of Number of Vessels Active for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013).   A vessel is considered to be active if it fished (targeting highly migratory fish stocks) at least one day during the year.    Were Number of Vessels active received by the deadline = YES   Longline = no issues detected | No issues detected |  |
| China |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = 28 Apr 2013   Purse Seine = 12 0-500 GRT= 0 501-1000 GRT= 5 1001 - 1500 GRT = 5 1500+ = 2   Longline= 219 0-50 GRT = 0 51 - 200 GRT = 120 201 - 500 GRT = 31 500 + = 68   Fish/Did not fish report for 2012 received: 20 June 2013  In 2012 429 fishing vessels were on WCPFC RFV flagged to this CCM: 322 vessels were reported to have fished within the Convention Area beyond this CCMs area under national jurisdiction.  16 Purse seine: 14 fished  405 Longline: 303 fished  8 carrier/bunkers: 5 fished  0 Other gears   AR Pt 1, 2013 Table 1:  LL = 286, PS = 13  *For the number of PS vessels: The Fished/Not Fished List provided by China before July 1 indicated 13 vessels, including TAI FU 102, which sank in 2012 and was replaced at later stage. While the figure of 12 vessels by SPC may not include TAI FU 102. For the number of LL vessels: we have no idea on 219 by SPC and 303 by the Secretariat. The number of 286 is calculated as follows by China: 286=322-18-5-13, where: 322=total vessel number in the Fished/Not Fished List; 18=vessels transferred back to IOTC in 2012. These vessels are deducted from AR Pt 1 in accordance with domestic regime; 5=number of carrier vessels in the Fished/Not Fished List 13=number of PS vessels in Fished/Not Fished List* | Assessment of Submission of Number of Vessels Active for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013).   A vessel is considered to be active if it fished (targeting highly migratory fish stocks) at least one day during the year.    Were Number of Vessels active received by the deadline = YES   **Purse seine = number of PS reported active by SPC (12), fished/did not fished report (14) and in the AR Pt 1 (13) does not correlate**   **Longline = number of LL reported active by SPC (219), fished/did not fished report (303) and in the AR Pt 1 (286) does not correlate** | No issues detected |  |
| Ecuador |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = 28 Apr, 8 June 2013   Purse Seine = 8 0-500 GRT= 0 501-1000 GRT= 0 1001 - 1500 GRT = 1 1500+ = 7   At the time of preparing this dCMR, this CCM had not provided Fished/Did not fishe report (CMM 2009-01 09)  In 2012, 10 vessels were on the WCPFC RFV flagged to this CCM: 3 Purse seine: 7 Longline   AR Pt 1, 2013 reported 8 PS vessels active in 2012: 0-500 GRT= 0 501-1000 GRT= 0 1001 - 1500 GRT = 2 1500+ = 6 | Assessment of Submission of Number of Vessels Active for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013).   A vessel is considered to be active if it fished (targeting highly migratory fish stocks) at least one day during the year.    Were Number of Vessels active received by the deadline = YES Purse seine = no issue detected | No issues detected |  |
| Fiji |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = 19 Apr 2013   Longline= 109 0-50 GRT = 2 51 - 200 GRT = 94 201 - 500 GRT = 8 500 + = 5   Fish/Did not fish report for 2012 received: 21 June 2013.  In 2012 100 vessels were on the WCPFC RFV flagged to this CCM: 68 vessels were reported to have fished within the Convention Area beyond this CCMs area under national jurisdiction.  0 Purse seine:    100 Longline: 68 fished  0 carrier/bunkers:  0 Other gears:    AR Pt 1, 2013 Table 3 report Fiji flagged LL: <21m:  11 21 - 30 m:  38  > 31 m:  64 Total = 113 | Assessment of Submission of Number of Vessels Active for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013).   A vessel is considered to be active if it fished (targeting highly migratory fish stocks) at least one day during the year.    Were Number of Vessels active received by the deadline = YES   Longline = no issues detected | No issues detected |  |
| Federated States of Micronesia |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = 19 Apr 2013    Purse Seine = 9 0-500 GRT= 0 501-1000 GRT= 4 1001 - 1500 GRT = 2 1500+ = 3   Longline= 32 0-50 GRT = 1 51 - 200 GRT =28 201 - 500 GRT = 0 500 + = 3   Fish/Did not fish report for 2012 received: 25 June 2013.  In 2012 35 vessels were on the WCPFC RFV flagged to this CCM: 32 vessels were reported to have fished within the Convention Area beyond this CCMs area under national jurisdiction.  9 Purse seine: 9 fished 24 Longline: 23 fished  2 carrier/bunkers: 0 fished  0 Other gears: | Assessment of Submission of Number of Vessels Active for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013).   A vessel is considered to be active if it fished (targeting highly migratory fish stocks) at least one day during the year.    Were Number of Vessels active received by the deadline = YES    Purse seine = no issues detected   Longline = no issues detected | No issues detected |  |
| Indonesia |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = 30 Apr, 20 June 2013   Purse Seine = 156 0-500 GRT= 143 501-1000 GRT= 10 1001 - 1500 GRT = 3 1500+ = 0   Longline= 150 0-50 GRT = 97 51 - 200 GRT = 52 201 - 500 GRT = 0 500 + = 1   Pole and line= 132 0-50 GRT = 36 51 - 150 GRT = 96  150+ = 0   Fish/Did not fish report for 2012 received: 1 July 2013  In 2012 432 vessels were on the WCPFC RFV flagged to this CCM: 70 vessels were reported to have fished within the Convention Area beyond this CCMs area under national jurisdiction.  161 Purse seine: 17 fished  182 Longline: 44 fished  23 pole and line vessels: 9 fished  65 Other gears: 0 vessels fished   AR Pt 1, 2013 (sec.II) provides a table with the number of fishing vessels operating in IEEZ Sulawesi Sea and IEEZ Pacific Ocean, by size | Assessment of Submission of Number of Vessels Active for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013).   A vessel is considered to be active if it fished (targeting highly migratory fish stocks) at least one day during the year.    Were Number of Vessels active received by the deadline = YES    Purse seine = no issues detected  Longline = no issues detected  Pole and Line = no issues detected Troll =  n/a | No issues detected |  |
| Japan |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt =   27 Apr 2013   Purse Seine [DW] = 78 0-500 GRT= 40 501-1000 GRT= 34 1001 - 1500 GRT = 4 1500+ = 0 PS (CS) = 923 [no breakdown by GRT category]    Longline [JP]= 124 0-50 GRT = 0 51 - 200 GRT = 42 201 - 500 GRT = 82 500 + = 0 Longline [CS]:  0-50 GRT = 228   Pole and line [CS]= 214 [no breakdown by Category]   Pole and line [DW]= 90 0-50 GRT = 0 51 - 150 GRT = 62 150+ = 28   Fish/Did not fish report for 2012 received: 11 July 2013.  In 2012 1368 vessels were on the WCPFC RFV flagged to this CCM: 1138 vessels were reported to have fished within the Convention Area beyond this CCMs area under national jurisdiction.  128 Purse seine: 128 fished  637 Longline: 425 fished  81 carrier/bunkers: 81 fished  100 pole and line vessels: 89 fished 422 Other gears: 7 vessels fished    AR Pt 1, 2013 Table 1 list the number of fishing vessels (by size) engaged in tuna fishery in 2012 for LL (370), PS (78) and PL (77) | Assessment of Submission of Number of Vessels Active for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013).   A vessel is considered to be active if it fished (targeting highly migratory fish stocks) at least one day during the year.    Were Number of Vessels active received by the deadline = YES   Purse seine = no issues detected  Longline = no issues detected  Pole and Line = no issues detected   Troll = n/a | No issues detected |  |
| Kiribati |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = 19 Apr 2013   Purse Seine = 9 0-500 GRT= 0 501-1000 GRT= 0 1001 - 1500 GRT = 6 1500+ = 3   Longline= 4 0-50 GRT = 0 51 - 200 GRT = 0 201 - 500 GRT = 1 500 + = 3   Pole and line= 1 0-50 GRT = 0 51 - 150 GRT = 0 150+ = 1   Ar Pt 1: Kiribati also have flagged vessels active within the WCPFC area. The number of purse seine national fleets was increasing to nine (9) vessels, long line national fleets was three (3) and a pole and line national fleet was still having one vessels.     No Fish/Did not fish report for 2012 received.  But in 2012, 45 vessels were on the WCPFC RFV flagged to this CCM:  11 Purse seine, 5 Longline, 30 carrier/bunkers, 1 pole and line vessel: | Assessment of Submission of Number of Vessels Active for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013).   A vessel is considered to be active if it fished (targeting highly migratory fish stocks) at least one day during the year.    Were Number of Vessels active received by the deadline = YES   Purse seine = no issues detected / n/a /  SPC advised: insert notes from summary table, or other notes Longline = no issues detected / n/a /  SPC advised: insert notes from summary table or other notes Pole and Line = no issues detected / n/a /  SPC advised: insert notes from summary table, or other notes Troll = no issues detected / n/a / SPC advised: insert notes from summary table, or other notes   Notes on any gaps or concerns: There does not seem to have been pole and line and troll vessels GRT category provided for 2012 | No issues detected |  |
| Korea (Republic of) |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = 30 Apr 2013   Purse Seine = 28 0-500 GRT= 0 501-1000 GRT= 12 1001 - 1500 GRT = 11 1500+ = 5   Longline= 126 0-50 GRT = 0 51 - 200 GRT = 0 201 - 500 GRT = 126 500 + = 0   Fish/Did not fish report for 2012 received: 19 March 2013  In 2012 281 vessels were on the WCPFC RFV flagged to this CCM: 168 vessels were reported to have fished within the Convention Area beyond this CCMs area under national jurisdiction.  58 Purse seine: 28 fished  198 Longline: 127 fished  23 carrier/bunkers: 12 fished  2 Other gears: 1vessel fished    AR Pt 1, 2013 Table 2:    Longline= 126 0-50 GRT = 0 51 - 200 GRT = 0 201 - 500 GRT = 126 500 + = 0   Purse Seine = 28 0-500 GRT= 0 501-1000 GRT= 12 1001 - 1500 GRT = 11 1500+ = 5 | Assessment of Submission of Number of Vessels Active for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013).   A vessel is considered to be active if it fished (targeting highly migratory fish stocks) at least one day during the year.    Were Number of Vessels active received by the deadline = YES   Purse seine = no issues detected   Longline = no issues detected | No issues detected |  |
| Marshall Islands |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = 19 Apr 2013   Purse Seine = 10 0-500 GRT= 0 501-1000 GRT= 0 1001 - 1500 GRT = 7 1500+ = 3   Longline= 4 0-50 GRT = 0 51 - 200 GRT = 0  201 - 500 GRT = 4 500 + = 0   Fish/Did not fish report received: 24 June 2013  In 2012 24 vessels were on the WCPFC RFV flagged to this CCM: 18vessels were reported to have fished within the Convention Area beyond this CCMs area under national jurisdiction.  10 Purse seine: 10 fished  4 Longline: 4 fished  14 carrier/bunkers: 8 fished | Assessment of Submission of Number of Vessels Active for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013).   A vessel is considered to be active if it fished (targeting highly migratory fish stocks) at least one day during the year.    Were Number of Vessels active received by the deadline = YES    Purse seine = no issues detected    Longline = no issues detected | No issues detected |  |
| New Caledonia |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = 30 April 2013 Longline= 19 0-50 GRT = 0 51 - 200 GRT = 19 201 - 500 GRT = 0 500 + = 0   In 2012 27 longline vessels were on the WCPFC RFV flagged to this CCM: 1 longline vessels were reported to have fished within the Convention Area beyond this CCMs area under national jurisdiction.   AR Pt 1 2013 Longline= 19 0-50 GRT = 0 51 - 200 GRT = 19 201 - 500 GRT = 0 500 + = 0 | Assessment of Submission of Number of Vessels Active for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013).   A vessel is considered to be active if it fished (targeting highly migratory fish stocks) at least one day during the year.    Were Number of Vessels active received by the deadline =YES   Longline = no issues detected | No issues detected |  |
| New Zealand |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = 30 Apr 2013   Purse Seine = 8 0-500 GRT= 5 501-1000 GRT=0  1001 - 1500 GRT = 1 1500+ = 2   Longline= 42 0-50 GRT = 25 51 - 200 GRT = 13 201 - 500 GRT = 3 500 + = 1   Fish/Did not fish report 2012 received: 15 March 2013  In 2012 8 vessels were on the WCPFC RFV flagged to this CCM: 6 vessels were reported to have fished within the Convention Area beyond this CCMs area under national jurisdiction.  4 Purse seine: 4 fished  3 Longline: 1 fished  1 Other gears: 1 vessels fished     AR Pt 1, 2013 Table 4 report the number of NZ registered vessels fishing in the WCPFC-CA LL = 43 PS = 9 PL = 0 TR = 168 | Assessment of Submission of Number of Vessels Active for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013).   A vessel is considered to be active if it fished (targeting highly migratory fish stocks) at least one day during the year.    Were Number of Vessels active received by the deadline = YES   Purse seine = no issues detected  Longline = no issues detected  Pole and Line = no issues detected Troll = no issues detected | No issues detected |  |
| French Polynesia |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = 26 Apr 2013   LL, PL, OT   Longline= 59 0-50 GRT = 28 51 - 200 GRT = 31 201 - 500 GRT = 0 500 + = 0   Pole and line= 46 0-50 GRT = 46 51 - 150 GRT = 0  150+ = 0   Reporting requirement = Fish/Did not fish report expected in 2013, CCM had vessels on the WCPFC RFV in 2012. This report was received prior to the 1 July deadline.  During 2012, no vessels flying this flag were detected within the WCPF Convention Area on the WCPFC VMS during 2012 (this number should be the same or less than the number of vessels that were reported to have fished but may also include vessels transitting)    AR Pt 1, 2013 Table 1 report the fleet structure of the small scale fleet and Table 2 is the fleet structure of the longline fleet Types of boats: Poti marara = 377 Bonitier = 50 Fresh longliners = 34 Freezer longliners = 30 | Assessment of Submission of Number of Vessels Active for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013).   A vessel is considered to be active if it fished (targeting highly migratory fish stocks) at least one day during the year.    Were Number of Vessels active received by the deadline = YES   Purse seine =N/A   Longline = no issues detected  Pole and Line = no issues detected  Troll = N/A | No issues detected |  |
| Papua New Guinea |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = 19 Apr 2013   Purse Seine = 51 0-500 GRT= 11 501-1000 GRT= 9 1001 - 1500 GRT = 25 1500+ = 6   Longline= 36 0-50 GRT = 7 51 - 200 GRT = 29 201 - 500 GRT = 0 500 + = 0   No fished/did not fished report but during 2012, 41 vessels flag to this CCM was on the RFV:  1 multipurpose vessel, 14 PS, 22 LL, 3 carrier and 1 unknown. | Assessment of Submission of Number of Vessels Active for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013).   A vessel is considered to be active if it fished (targeting highly migratory fish stocks) at least one day during the year.   Were Number of Vessels active received by the deadline = YES    Purse seine = no issues detected  Longline = no issues detected | No issues detected |  |
| Philippines |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = 19 Apr, 20 May 2013   Purse Seine = 87 0-500 GRT= 76 501-1000 GRT= 8 1001 - 1500 GRT = 2 1500+ = 1   PS [DW] = 29 (not broken down by GRT category)    Longline= 20 0-50 GRT = 2 51 - 200 GRT = 6 201 - 500 GRT = 5 500 + = 7   **Fish/Did not fish report for 2012 received 12 July 2013**  In 2012 703 vessels were on the WCPFC RFV flagged to this CCM: 266 vessels were reported to have fished within the Convention Area beyond this CCMs area under national jurisdiction.  146 Purse seine: 67 fished  24 Longline: 2 fished  213 carrier/bunkers: 78 fished  319 Other gears: 119 vessels fished   AR Pt 1, 2013 Table 1 provide a table of classification of Philippine registered vessels in WCPFC (source:  WCPFC webiste) | Assessment of Submission of Number of Vessels Active for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013).   A vessel is considered to be active if it fished (targeting highly migratory fish stocks) at least one day during the year.    Were Number of Vessels active received by the deadline = YES    **Purse seine = SPC advised that breakdown for gear type was provided for only some vessels in the Philippines purse seine fleet.**   Longline = no issues detected | No issues detected |  |
| Solomon Islands |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = 19 April 2013   Purse Seine = 8 0-500 GRT= 0 501-1000 GRT= 5 1001 - 1500 GRT = 3 1500+ = 0   Longline= 0 0-50 GRT = 0 51 - 200 GRT = 0 201 - 500 GRT = 0 500 + = 0   Pole and line= 3 0-50 GRT = 0 51 - 150 GRT = 1  150+ = 2   In 2012 5 vessels were on the WCPFC RFV flagged to this CCM: 0 vessels were reported to have fished within the Convention Area beyond this CCMs area under national jurisdiction. 5 Purse seine: 0 fished    AR Pt 1: reports 5 purse seine vessels and 3 pole and line vessels operating in 2012.   In terms of size category information AR Pt 1 (pg6) "The Solomon Islands flagged vessels only operate within the Solomon Islands EEZ. The vessels are much smaller with fish holding capacity ranges between 300mt – 500mt and concentrated their fishing activities more within the archipelagic waters. These vessels fish mainly at FADs and landed their catch at the Noro processing plant in Solomon Islands. " | Assessment of Submission of Number of Vessels Active for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013).   A vessel is considered to be active if it fished (targeting highly migratory fish stocks) at least one day during the year.    Were Number of Vessels active received by the deadline = YES    Purse seine = no issues detected  Longline = no issues detected Pole and Line = no issues detected    The numbers reported to SPC may need to be updated (as at 17 July 2013). | No issues detected |  |
| El Salvador |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = [INSERT DATE]   Purse Seine = 2 0-500 GRT=  501-1000 GRT=  1001 - 1500 GRT = 0 1500+ = 2   At the time of preparing this dCMR, this CCM had not provided Fished/Did not fishe report (CMM 2009-01 09)  In 2012, 2 vessels were on the WCPFC RFV flagged to this CCM: 2 purse seine   AR Pt 1, 2013 Table 1 and 2 reported 2 PS vessels active in 2012:  0-500 GRT= 0  501-1000 GRT= 0  1001 - 1500 GRT = 0 1500+ = 2 | Assessment of Submission of Number of Vessels Active for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013).   A vessel is considered to be active if it fished (targeting highly migratory fish stocks) at least one day during the year.    Were Number of Vessels active received by the deadline = YES   Purse seine = no issues detected / | No issues detected |  |
| Tonga |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = 30 April 2013   Longline= 3 0-50 GRT =30 51 - 200 GRT = 0 201 - 500 GRT = 0 500 + = 0     At the time of preparing this dCMR, this CCM had not provided Fished and did not fish report (CMM 2009-01 09) In 2012 2 vessels were on the WCPFC RFV flagged to this CCM: 2 Longline: | Assessment of Submission of Number of Vessels Active for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013).   A vessel is considered to be active if it fished (targeting highly migratory fish stocks) at least one day during the year.    Were Number of Vessels active received by the deadline = YES    Longline = no issues detected | No issues detected |  |
| Tuvalu |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = 19 April 2013   Purse Seine = 1 0-500 GRT= 0 501-1000 GRT= 0  1001 - 1500 GRT = 0 1500+ = 1   Longline= 6 0-50 GRT = 0 51 - 200 GRT = 2 201 - 500 GRT = 0 500 + = 4   At the time of preparing this dCMR, this CCM had not provided Fished and did not fish report (CMM 2009-01 09) In 2012 9 vessels were on the WCPFC RFV flagged to this CCM: 1 Purse seine: 6 Longline: 2 carrier/bunkers: | Assessment of Submission of Number of Vessels Active for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013).   A vessel is considered to be active if it fished (targeting highly migratory fish stocks) at least one day during the year.    Were Number of Vessels active received by the deadline = YES   Purse seine = no issues detected  Longline = no issues detected | No issues detected |  |
| Chinese Taipei |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = 30 Apr 2013   Purse Seine =  0-500 GRT=  501-1000 GRT=  1001 - 1500 GRT =  1500+ =    Longline:  DW = 87 0-50 GRT = 0 51 - 200 GRT = 15 201 - 500 GRT = 20 500 + = 52   Longline:  OD = 1326 0-50 GRT = 0 51 - 200 GRT = 15 201 - 500 GRT = 20 500 + = 52    Fish/Did not fish report not yet received.  But in 2012, Chinese Taipei flag vessels on the RFV were: PS = 112 LL = 1925 Fish Carrier = 22 Fishing vessel not specified = 8   AR Pt 1, 2013 report the number of active vessels by fishery in 2012 as below: LTLL = 87 DWPS = 34 STLL = 1326  *This is to advise that we have 34 purse seiners and three of them were replaced by three new purse seiners. Therefore, there were 37 purse seiners active in 2012. The number of purse seine vessels active in 2012 provided by gross registered tonnage (GRT) class is as as follows: 501–1000 = 15 1001–1500 = 20 1500+ = 2* | Assessment of Submission of Number of Vessels Active for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013).   A vessel is considered to be active if it fished (targeting highly migratory fish stocks) at least one day during the year.    Were Number of Vessels active received by the deadline = YES    Purse seine = no issues detected / n/a /  SPC advised: insert notes from summary table, or other notes Longline = no issues detected / n/a /  SPC advised: insert notes from summary table or other notes Pole and Line = no issues detected / n/a /  SPC advised: insert notes from summary table, or other notes Troll = no issues detected / n/a / SPC advised: insert notes from summary table, or other notes | No issues detected |  |
| United States of America |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = 29 April 2013   Purse Seine = 39 0-500 GRT= 0 501-1000 GRT= 1  1001 - 1500 GRT = 17 1500+ = 21   Longline American Samoa = 25 GRT breakdown not provided   Longline Hawaii = 153 0-50 GRT = 15 51 - 200 GRT = 138 201 - 500 GRT = 0 500 + = 0   Pole and line= 1 0-50 GRT = 0 51 - 150 GRT = 1  150+ = 0   In 2012 199 vessels were on the WCPFC RFV flagged to this CCM: 183 vessels were reported to have fished within the Convention Area beyond this CCMs area under national jurisdiction. 40 Purse seine: 39 fished 134 Longline: 130  fished 6 pole and line: 3 fished 16 troll : 10 fished                                       3 Other gears: 1 vessels fished      AR Part 1 2013: Purse Seine = 39 0-500 GRT= 0 501-1000 GRT= 1 1001 - 1500 GRT = 17 1500+ = 21   Longline = 143 0-50 GRT = 15 51 - 200 GRT = 138 201 - 500 GRT = 0 500 + = 0   Pole and line= 1 0-50 GRT = 0 51 - 150 GRT = 1 150+ = 0  Troll = 9 0-50 GRT = 0 51 - 150 GRT = 5 150+ = 4   *The number of active vessels provided in Part 1 should be expected to differ from active/inactive vessel list provided by the US in June 2013 because the summary provided in Part 1 reflects all vessels fishing in the WCPFC Statistical Area which includes areas of national jurisdiction whereas the active/inactive vessel list pertains to vessels fishing in areas beyond US jurisdiction. Thus, the number of vessels summarized in Tables 2a and 2b will generally be larger than the number of active vessels identified in the active/inactive list because not all vessels fish in U.S. jurisdiction. Additionally, the number of vessels by gear in Part 1 reflects the gear used, while the vessel type listed on the RFV reflects the vessel type provided by the applicant for the fishing authorization. Some vessels may fish with multiple gears, and thus the gear type fished with may differ from the primary vessel type listed on the RFV.* | Assessment of Submission of Number of Vessels Active for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013).   A vessel is considered to be active if it fished (targeting highly migratory fish stocks) at least one day during the year.    Were Number of Vessels active received by the deadline = YES    Purse seine = no issues detected  Longline = no issues detected Pole and Line = no issues detected  Troll = no issues detected    The numbers reported in AR Pt 1 2013 appear to be more current and complete than the advice from SPC (as at 17 July 2013). | No issues detected |  |
| Vanuatu |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = 30 April 2013   Purse Seine = 6 0-500 GRT= 0 501-1000 GRT= 0 1001 - 1500 GRT = 0 1500+ = 6   Longline= 84 0-50 GRT = 0 51 - 200 GRT = 38 201 - 500 GRT = 24 500 + = 22     At the time of preparing this dCMR, this CCM had not provided Fished and did not fish report (CMM 2009-01 09)  In 2012 134 vessels were on the WCPFC RFV flagged to this CCM: 22 Purse seine: 85 Longline: 25 carrier/bunkers: 2 Pole and line.   AR Pt 1 2013: Longline= 74 0-50 GRT = 0 51 - 200 GRT = 38 201 - 500 GRT = 24 500 + = 12  Purse Seine = 22 0-500 GRT= 3 501-1000 GRT= 0 1001 - 1500 GRT = 13 1500+ = 6 | Assessment of Submission of Number of Vessels Active for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013).   A vessel is considered to be active if it fished (targeting highly migratory fish stocks) at least one day during the year.    Were Number of Vessels active received by the deadline = YES    Purse seine = no issues detected  Longline = no issues detected    The numbers reported in AR Pt 1 2013 appear to be more current than the advice from SPC (as at 17 July 2013). | No issues detected |  |
| Samoa |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = [19 April 2013   Longline= 61 0-50 GRT = 45 51 - 200 GRT = 11 201 - 500 GRT = 5 500 + = 0    No vessels flying this CCMs flag on the WCPFC RFV AR Pt 1 2013 Longline= 36 0-50 GRT = 34 51 - 200 GRT = 2 201 - 500 GRT = 0 500 + = 0  Troll = 55 WCPFC SP= 55 | Assessment of Submission of Number of Vessels Active for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013).   A vessel is considered to be active if it fished (targeting highly migratory fish stocks) at least one day during the year.    Were Number of Vessels active received by the deadline = YES   Longline = no issues detected   The numbers reported in AR Pt 1 2013 appear to be more current than the advice from SPC (as at 17 July 2013). | No issues detected |  |
| European Union |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   date of receipt =   25 May 2013 for Portugese LL date of receipt =   18 May 2013 for Spanish LL;  30 Apr 2013 for Spanish PS   Purse Seine = 4 0-500 GRT= 0 501-1000 GRT= 0 1001 - 1500 GRT = 0 1500+ = 4   Longline= 6 0-50 GRT = 0 51 - 200 GRT = 5 201 - 500 GRT = 0 500 + = 1   Fish/Did not fish report for 2012 received: 28 June 2013.  In 2012 115 vessels were on the WCPFC RFV flagged to this CCM: 11 vessels were reported to have fished within the Convention Area beyond this CCMs area under national jurisdiction.  48 Purse seine: 4 fished  49 Longline: 5 fished  17 carrier/bunkers: 1 fished  1 Other gears: 1 vessels fished   *The EU clarified with the WCPFC Secretariat the list of 13 vessels that have fished in 2012. There is no more discrepancy with the list of vessels that were detected on the VMS.* | Assessment of Submission of Number of Vessels Active for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013).   A vessel is considered to be active if it fished (targeting highly migratory fish stocks) at least one day during the year.    **Were Number of Vessels active received by the deadline = NO (for LL fleet)**   Purse seine = no issues detected   Longline = no issues detected   **The numbers reported in Fished and Did not fish report, appear to be more current than the advice from SPC (as at 17 July 2013).** | No issues detected |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **SciData 03**  **(v) Provision of Scientific data and Annual Report Part 1** | Operational level catch and effort data |

| **Applicable CCMs** | **2011 Assessment** | **2012 Implementation** | **SPC Advice (Evaluation Explanation Column)** | **Compliance Evaluation** | **TCC Assessment** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Australia |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = 30 April 2013 gear types covered = LL, PS, PL, TR   Individual sets by LL = yes individual sets by PS = n/a (catches = 0) days fished for other gear = n/a (catches = 56Mt) | Assessment of Operational Level catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC provided tables, associated notes)  Were operational level catch and effort data received by the deadline = YES Individual sets by LL = no issues detected individual sets by PS = n/a days fished for pole and line = n/a days fished for troll = n/a  SPC advised: Catches of shark by species have been provided    Was information on operational changes in the fishery that are not attribute in the data provided? = SPC advised N/A | No issues detected |  |
| Belize |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = not received.     Individual sets by LL = NO    *This information was not provided to the Commission because Belize currently does not have an established Observer program. However, Belize is currently awaiting the passage of a new Act which will set the legal framework to implement an Observer program with the assistance of established organization CapFish.* | Assessment of Operational Level catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   **Were operational level catch and effort data received by the deadline = NO**   **Individual sets by LL = not received** | Potential compliance issue |  |
| Canada |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   date of receipt = not received   days fished for troll = NO | Assessment of Operational Level catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   **Were operational level catch and effort data received by the deadline = NO**   **days fished for troll = not received** | Potential compliance issue | *See dCMR\_add info\_CA* |
| Cook Islands |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = 30 Apr 2013 gear types covered = LL, TR   Individual sets by LL = YES    days fished for troll = N/A   *CK advised (email: 7 Sept):  The main reason for this is that the implementation of the new SPC harmonised logsheets across all the vessels has taken some time, and as a result some of the older logsheets were still in use during this period, as advised at last year’s TCC. The older logsheets do not have detailed species breakdown for sharks. MMR has undertaken an awareness programme with the companies and circulated the logsheets as widely as possible, and would note that this work is on-going. However, since we were unclear as to what constitutes a ‘discard’, we are not sure how the recently declared Cook Islands ‘shark sanctuary’ (non-target/non-retention) regulations impact on the provision of this information in logsheet data. We have taken the position that having a shark on the line, which is then cut loose either alive or dead (but not brought on board the vessel) would still constitutes a ‘discard’, but are seeking advice from SPC on this point. If this is the case, we will then require the vessel to include any such interactions on the logsheet, including by species wherever this is possible.* | Assessment of Operational Level catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   Were operational level catch and effort data received by the deadline = YES   SPC advised operational Logsheet data provided to SPC by their member countries on a regular basis   **Individual sets by LL = provided but SPC advise:  Catches of KEY shark species have been provided, but (i) not all KEY SPECIES COVERED, and/or (ii) COVERAGE of shark species catches is considered LOW.**   days fished for troll = n/a | Potential compliance issue | *See dCMR\_addInfo*  *\_CK* |
| China |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = not received   gear types covered = LL, PS   Individual sets by LL = NO   individual sets by PS = NO   *We shall provide operate data in the future* | Assessment of Operational Level catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013) **Were operational level catch and effort data received by the deadline = NO**   **Individual sets by LL = NO**   **Individual sets by PS = NO** | Potential compliance issue |  |
| Ecuador |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = 8 June 2013 gear types covered = PS     individual sets by PS = YES | Assessment of Operational Level catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   **Were operational level catch and effort data received by the deadline = NO**   individual sets by PS = no issues detected | Potential compliance issue |  |
| Fiji |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = 30 Apr 2013 gear types covered = LL, PL   Individual sets by LL = YES    days fished for troll = N/A     *Fiji provides all its catch and effort data to SPC at the operational level on a regular basis. Please note that Fiji uses the SPC/FFA standardised logsheet forms (revised November 2009 version) that lists the key shark species to be recorded. In addition, Fiji provides its Observer data to SPC that reports on shark catches in detail i.e. to the species level.* | Assessment of Operational Level catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   Were operational level catch and effort data received by the deadline = SPC advise, Operational Logsheet data provided to SPC by their member countries on a regular basis   **Individual sets by LL = provided but SPC advise, Catches of KEY shark species have not been provided.**   days fished for troll = n/a    some gaps in TROLL fisheries, but probably small-scale domestic coastal fisheries so not evaluated | Potential compliance issue |  |
| Federated States of Micronesia |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = 30 Apr 2013 gear types covered = LL, PS   Individual sets by LL = YES and SPC advised,Catches of KEY shark species have not been provided.   individual sets by PS = YES  and SPC advise, Catches of KEY shark species have not been provided.   SPC advised, Operational Logsheet data provided to SPC by their member countries on a regular basis | Assessment of Operational Level catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)    Were operational level catch and effort data received by the deadline = YES   **Individual sets by LL =SPC advised,Catches of KEY shark species have not been provided.**   **individual sets by PS = SPC advised,Catches of KEY shark species have not been provided.** | Potential compliance issue |  |
| Indonesia | Operational level catch and effort data • Potential implementation or compliance issue, please provide additional explanation • It is indicated in the Annual Report Part 1. | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   date of receipt = not submitted gear types covered = LL, PS, OT   Individual sets by LL = NO individual sets by PS = NO days fished for pole and line =  NO days fished for troll = N/A | Assessment of Operational Level catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   **Were operational level catch and effort data received by the deadline = NO**   **Individual sets by LL = NO individual sets by PS = NO days fished for pole and line = NO**   days fished for troll = N/A   Was information on operational changes in the fishery that are not attribute in the data provided? = | Potential compliance issue |  |
| Japan |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt =  not submitted   Individual sets by LL = NO   individual sets by PS = NO   days fished for pole and line = NO   days fished for troll = NO | Assessment of Operational Level catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   **Were operational level catch and effort data received by the deadline = NO**   Individual sets by LL =   individual sets by PS =   days fished for pole and line =  days fished for troll = | Potential compliance issue |  |
| Kiribati |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = 30 Apr 2013 gear types covered = LL, PS   Individual sets by LL = YES but SPC advised Catches of KEY shark species have not been provided   individual sets by PS = YES   days fished for pole and line = N/A | Assessment of Operational Level catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)    Were operational level catch and effort data received by the deadline = YES   **Individual sets by LL = SPC advised catches of KEY shark species have not been provided**   individual sets by PS = no issues detected   SPC advise: include any notes from summary table or excel spreadsheet   Was information on operational changes in the fishery that are not attribute in the data provided? = SPC advised: from excel spreadsheet | Potential compliance issue |  |
| Korea (Republic of) |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = not submitted   gear types covered = LL, PS   Individual sets by LL = NO   individual sets by PS = NO   days fished for pole and line = N/A   days fished for troll = N/A  *At SC9, it was agreed hat operational data will be provided to SPC for joint research for stock assessment. The joint research will be carried out next year and the result will be submitted after the completion of the research.* | Assessment of Operational Level catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   **Were operational level catch and effort data received by the deadline = NO   Individual sets by LL = NO (data not yet submitted)**   **individual sets by PS = NO** | Potential compliance issue |  |
| Marshall Islands |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = 30 Apr 2013 gear types covered = LL, PS   Individual sets by LL = YES but SPC advised, catches of KEY shark species have not been provided   individual sets by PS = YES    SPC advised, Operational Logsheet data provided to SPC by their member countries on a regular basis | Assessment of Operational Level catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   Were operational level catch and effort data received by the deadline = YES **Individual sets by LL = YES but SPC advised, catches of KEY shark species have not been provided** individual sets by PS = no issues detected    SPC advised, Operational Logsheet data provided to SPC by their member countries on a regular basis | Potential compliance issue |  |
| New Caledonia |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = 30 April 2013 gear types covered = LL   Individual sets by LL = YES    *The key shark species catches are reported by the New Caledonian longline fleet using the updated version of the regional logsheet form which was provided to New Caledonia by the SPC / OFP and includes all the species required. As the New Caledonian tuna fleet does not target sharks the data on the fishing logsheets have to be raised with the information from the New Caledonia observer programme whose coverage rate reached more than 5% in 2012. Estimates of the corresponding tonnages of sharks (sheet 1 of the attached file) were generated on the basis of the observer programme data New Caledonia provides to SPC / OFP on a regular basis.* | Assessment of Operational Level catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)    Were operational level catch and effort data received by the deadline = YES   **Individual sets by LL = provided, including catches of sharks by species.  But SPC advised: Catches of KEY shark species have been provided, but (i) not all KEY SPECIES COVERED, and/or (ii) COVERAGE of shark species catches is considered LOW.**   **Operational Logsheet data provided to SPC by their member countries on a regular basis** Was information on operational changes in the fishery that are not attribute in the data provided? = SPC advised: N/A | Potential compliance issue |  |
| New Zealand |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = 30 Apr 2013 gear types covered = LL, TR, PS   Individual sets by LL = YES   individual sets by PS = YES    days fished for troll = YES | Assessment of Operational Level catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)    Were operational level catch and effort data received by the deadline = YES   Individual sets by LL = no issues detected   individual sets by PS = no issues detected  days fished for troll = no issues detected    SPC advise: Catches of sharks by species have been provided    Was information on operational changes in the fishery that are not attribute in the data provided? = SPC advised: N/A | No issues detected |  |
| French Polynesia |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = from summary table gear types covered = list gears in summary table   Individual sets by LL = YES  and SPC advise, Catches of KEY shark species have been provided but (i) not all KEY SPECIES COVERED and/or (ii) COVERAGE of shark species catches is considered LOW   individual sets by PS = N/A   days fished for pole and line = NO | Assessment of Operational Level catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   Were operational level catch and effort data received by the deadline = YES   Individual sets by LL = SPC advised: **Catches of KEY shark species have been provided but (i) not all KEY SPECIES COVERED and/or (ii) COVERAGE of shark species catches is considered LOW**   days fished for pole and line =NO    SPC advised, operational logsheet data provided to SPC by their member countries on a regular basis | Potential compliance issue |  |
| Papua New Guinea |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = 30 Apr 2013 gear types covered = PS, LL   Individual sets by LL = YES     individual sets by PS = YES | Assessment of Operational Level catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   Were operational level catch and effort data received by the deadline = YES   **Individual sets by LL = SPC advise: Catches of KEY shark species have been provided, but (i) not all KEY SPECIES COVERED, and/or (ii) COVERAGE of shark species catches is considered LOW.**   individual sets by PS = no issues detected  **SPC Advice: Operational Logsheet data provided to SPC by their member countries on a regular basis**   Catches of shark by species have been provided | Potential compliance issue |  |
| Philippines | Operational level catch and effort data: No operational level catch and effort dataprovided for Philippines, except 2004 dataprovided for Philippines domestic purse seine vessels Philippines is a participant in the WPEA-OFM Project which has one of its aim to improve data collection in Philippines fisheries. • 2004 Philippine PS/RN operational data were submitted to WCPFC/SPC for appraisal & determination of high seas days effort, latest appraisal for these operational logsheets data were received by Philippines on November 2011. In 2008, Philippines started to initiate collection of PS/RN logsheets data through the WPEA-OFMP but further work is needed to address domestic constraints. Note: It is also recognized that certain members and cooperating non-members of the Commission may have practical difficulties in compiling operational data for fleets comprised of small vessels, such as certain sectors of the fisheries of Indonesia, thePhilippines and small islanddeveloping states  *PH provides available operational data to SPC to be included in the SA analysis* | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = not submitted gear types covered = PS, HL, RN, OT   Individual sets by LL = NO   individual sets by PS = YES   days fished for pole and line = N/A   days fished for troll = N/A   N/A = HL, RN, OT   *PH would like to clarify that provisional operational level catch and effort data was given to SPC but we will provide updates on the operational catch and effort data once it becomes available to be included in the stock assessment analysis.Philippines has ongoing activities and initiatives to improve its data and compliance to this data requirement/obligation as a member of the WCPFC.* | Assessment of Operational Level catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   **Were operational level catch and effort data received by the deadline = NO**   **Individual sets by LL = not yet received individual sets by PS = *SPC advised (email dated 5 Sept, 13), PH have provided SPC with a letter to authorize that all of their operational PS logsheet data that have, and will be, provided to SPC should be considered as provision to the WCPFC***   days fished for pole and line = n/a days fished for troll = n/a   Was information on operational changes in the fishery that are not attribute in the data provided? = SPC advised: N/A | Potential compliance issue |  |
| Solomon Islands |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = 19 April 2013 gear types covered = LL, PS, PL   Individual sets by LL = YES    individual sets by PS = YES    days fished for pole and line = YES | Assessment of Operational Level catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)    Were operational level catch and effort data received by the deadline = YES   **Individual sets by LL = provided but SPC advised, catches of key shark species have not been provided.** individual sets by PS = no issues detected  days fished for pole and line = no issues detected    **SPC advice: operational logsheet data provided to SPC by their member countries on a regular basis.**    Was information on operational changes in the fishery that are not attribute in the data provided? = SPC advised: n/a | Potential compliance issue |  |
| El Salvador |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = 23 Apr 2013 gear types covered = PS    individual sets by PS = YES | Assessment of Operational Level catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)    Were operational level catch and effort data received by the deadline = YES   individual sets by PS = no issues detected | No issues detected |  |
| Tonga |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = 30 april 2013 gear types covered = LL Individual sets by LL = YES   *The new logsheets for longline vessels provide from SPC in 2013, therefore in 2012 the logsheet just describe as number of sharks (no species specified) retain and discard* | Assessment of Operational Level catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)    Were operational level catch and effort data received by the deadline = YES   **Individual sets by LL = provided, but SPC advised: catches of key shark species have not been provided,**   **SPC advice: operational logsheet data provided to SPC by their member countries on a regular basis.**    Was information on operational changes in the fishery that are not attribute in the data provided? = SPC advised: N/A | Potential compliance issue |  |
| Tuvalu |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = 30 April 2013 gear types covered = LL, PS   Individual sets by LL = YES  individual sets by PS = YES | Assessment of Operational Level catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)    Were operational level catch and effort data received by the deadline = YES   **Individual sets by LL = provided, but SPC advised: catches of key shark species have not been provided,** individual sets by PS = no issues detected.     **SPC advice: operational logsheet data provided to SPC by their member countries on a regular basis.**   some gaps in TROLL fisheries, but probably small-scale domestic coastal fisheries so not evaluated   Was information on operational changes in the fishery that are not attribute in the data provided? = SPC advised: N/A | Potential compliance issue |  |
| Chinese Taipei |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt =   not submitted gear types covered =  LL, PS   Individual sets by LL = YES / NO/ N/A = from excel spreadsheet   individual sets by PS = YES / NO/ N/A = from excel spreadsheet   days fished for pole and line = YES / NO/ N/A = from excel spreadsheet   days fished for troll = YES / NO/ N/A = from excel spreadsheet  *This is to advise that we have provided the scientific data as per paragraph 3 of “Scientific data to be provided to the Commission” to the Secretariat. In addition, our representative has already expressed our willingness at SC9 meeting to invite the scientist of Scientific Service Provider to visit Taiwan to analyze our longline operational data jointly.* | Assessment of Operational Level catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   **Were operational level catch and effort data received by the deadline = NO**   **Individual sets by LL = SPC advised: NO individual sets by PS = SPC advised: NO**   Was information on operational changes in the fishery that are not attribute in the data provided? = N/A | Potential compliance issue |  |
| United States of America |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = 29 April 2013 gear types covered = LL , PS, TR   Individual sets by LL = YES American Samoa and Hawaii individual sets by PS = YES  days fished for pole and line = N/A  days fished for troll = YES (but not N. Marianas, Am Samoa, Guam or Hawaii)   *Hawaii LL operational data for 2012 is under review. Preliminary data will be submitted in advance of TCC. We are continuing to review operational data for Northern Marianas, American Samoa, Guam and Hawaii troll fleets.* | Assessment of Operational Level catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)    Were operational level catch and effort data received by the deadline = YES   Individual sets by LL = no issues detected  individual sets by PS = no issues detected  days fished for pole and line = n/a  days fished for troll = no issues detected    SPC advised: catches of shark by species have been provided   **SPC advise: operational level catch and effort data was not provided for N.Marianas, Am Samoa, Guam or Hawaii troll fleets) *SPC advised (5 Sept) that US have provided 2012 US/HW LL operational data***    Was information on operational changes in the fishery that are not attribute in the data provided? = SPC advised:N/A | Potential compliance issue |  |
| Vanuatu |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = 30 April 2013 gear types covered = LL, PS   Individual sets by LL = YES    individual sets by PS = YES | Assessment of Operational Level catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)    Were operational level catch and effort data received by the deadline = YES   **Individual sets by LL = SPC advise: catches of key shark species have not been provided** individual sets by PS = no issues detected   **SPC advice: operational logsheet data provided to SPC by their member countries on a regular basis.**   Was information on operational changes in the fishery that are not attribute in the data provided? = SPC advised n/a | Potential compliance issue |  |
| Samoa |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = 19 Apr 2013 gear types covered = LL   Individual sets by LL = YES    *Samoa has implemented the use of Long-line Expanded format log-sheet for 2012, but will undertake further awareness and education for skippers to improve reporting on shark catches. This shall address the low coverage of shark species catches.* | Assessment of Operational Level catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)    Were operational level catch and effort data received by the deadline = YES   **Individual sets by LL = provided, but SPC advised: Catches of KEY shark species have been provided, but (i) not all KEY SPECIES COVERED, and/or (ii) COVERAGE of shark species catches is considered LOW.**   **SPC advice: Operational Logsheet data provided to SPC by their member countries on a regular basis**    Was information on operational changes in the fishery that are not attribute in the data provided? = SPC advised: n/a | Potential compliance issue |  |
| European Union |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   date of receipt: Portugal LL: not submitted Spain:  LL = 18 May 2013; PS = 30 Apr 2013   gear types covered = LL, PS   Individual sets by LL: NO for Portugal LL Yes for Spain LL     individual sets by PS = YES      *Hooks per set have been provided for 2012. This information may not be specified for certain trips, but it can be extrapolated from another trip as the gear is not changing. Catches of all species including sharks have been provided. There was no catches of other shark species than those mentioned in the provided information.* | Assessment of Operational Level catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   **Were operational level catch and effort data received by the deadline = yes for Portugal LL; NO for Spanish LL and PS**   individual sets by PS = no issues detected  **Individual sets by LL = SPC advised: Portugal LL fleet = not submitted Spain LL fleet (source IEO):  >  "Branchlines between floats" not provided >  "Hooks per set" not provided >  "Time of set" not provided >  Discard information not provided >  Catches of KEY shark species have been provided, but (i) nto all KEY SPECIES COVERED, and/or (ii) COVERAGE of shark species catches is considered LOW** | Potential compliance issue |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **SciData 04**  **(v) Provision of Scientific data and Annual Report Part 1** | Catch and effort data aggregated by time period and geographic area |

| **Applicable CCMs** | **2011 Assessment** | **CCM Implementation** | **SPC Advice (Evaluation Explanation Column)** | **Compliance Evaluation** | **TCC Assessment** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Australia |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = 30 April 2013 gear types covered =  LL, PL, PS TR LL catch and effort by month and area of 5 x 5 = YES PS by month by area of 1x1 and type of school association = n/a Ringnet by month by area of 1x1 and type of school association = n/a other surface fishery targetting tuna by month and area of 1 x 1 = n/a | Assessment of Aggregated catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC provided tables and associated notes) Were aggregated catch and effort data received by the deadline = YES . Aggregated data were not provided, but have been generated from Operational level catch and effort data submitted to the WCPFC. If coverage of operational level catch and effort data was not 100% were catch and effort data which were raised to represent total catch and effort data provided by each area of national jurisdiction and high seas within the WCPFC Statistical Area provided = YES (longline) and NO (other - artisanal and small scale)  Was data provided aggregated by areas under national jurisdiction and high seas within the Convention Area = YES (longline) and NO (other - artisanal and small scale) 5x5 LL =no issues detected 1x1 PS = n/a 1x1 for pole and line = raised operational level data not provided 1x1 for troll = raised operational level data not provided Details on Statistical methods used provided = N/A Was information on operational changes in the fishery that are not attribute in the data provided? = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | No issues detected |  |
| Belize |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   date of receipt = 1 May 2013 gear types covered = LL   LL catch and effort by month and area of 5 x 5 = YES   *Aggregated catch and effort data was submitted to the Commission. Please find attached. Additionally, Annual Catch and Effort data by area were provided to the Commission. This document is also attached.* | Assessment of Aggregated catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   Were aggregated catch and effort data received by the deadline = NO   If coverage of operational level catch and effort data was not 100% were catch and effort data which were raised to represent total catch and effort data provided by each area of national jurisdiction and high seas within the WCPFC Statistical Area? = NO   Was data provided aggregated by areas under national jurisdiction and high seas within the Convention Area = NO   **5x5 for LL = was provided but Catches of key shark species have been provided but (i) not all KEY SPECIES COVERED and/or (ii) COVERAGE of shark species catches is considered LOW Annual Catch and Effort estimates by areas of national jurisdiction (EEZs) and High Seas have NOT BEEN PROVIDED**   Details on Statistical methods used provided = SPC advised it is N/A    Was information on operational changes in the fishery that are not attribute in the data provided? = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | Potential compliance issue |  |
| Canada |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   date of receipt = 14 Mar 2013   other surface fishery targetting tuna by month and area of 1 x 1 = YES (troll) | Assessment of Aggregated catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013) Were aggregated catch and effort data received by the deadline = YES   **If coverage of operational level catch and effort data was not 100% were catch and effort data which were raised to represent total catch and effort data provided by each area of national jurisdiction and high seas within the WCPFC Statistical Area? = NO**   **Was data provided aggregated by areas under national jurisdiction and high seas within the Convention Area =NO**   **other surface fishery targetting tuna by month and area of 1 x 1 for troll = provided, but note issues above**   Details on Statistical methods used provided =  N/A    Was information on operational changes in the fishery that are not attribute in the data provided? = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | Potential compliance issue |  |
| Cook Islands |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   date of receipt = 30 Apr 2013 gear types covered = LL, TR    LL catch and effort by month and area of 5 x 5 = YES SPC advised, Aggregate data have been generated from annual catch estimates and operational data made available to the SPC by their member countries through national bilateral agreements or subregional arrangements (e.g. the US Multilateral Purse Seine treaty managed by FFA) Catches of KEY shark species provided in their AGGREGATE data     troll = n/a | Assessment of Aggregated catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013) Were aggregated catch and effort data received by the deadline = YES    If coverage of operational level catch and effort data was not 100% were catch and effort data which were raised to represent total catch and effort data provided by each area of national jurisdiction and high seas within the WCPFC Statistical Area? = YES    Was data provided aggregated by areas under national jurisdiction and high seas within the Convention Area =YES   5x5 for LL = SPC advised:  Aggregate data have been generated from annual catch estimates and operational data made available to the SPC by their member countries through national bilateral agreements or subregional arrangements (e.g. the US Multilateral Purse Seine treaty managed by FFA).  Catches of KEY shark species provided in their AGGREGATE data   Details on Statistical methods used provided = N/A    Was information on operational changes in the fishery that are not attribute in the data provided? = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | No issues detected |  |
| China |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   date of receipt = 28 Apr 2013 gear types covered = LL (DWFN), LL(offshore), PS LL:  Distant-water longline fleet data do not cover the entire Pacific Ocean (required for stock assessments of certain species) PS:  Aggregate data not provided or incomplete, but have been generated from annual catch estimates and operational data made available by the Coastal States     LL catch and effort by month and area of 5 x 5 = YES Aggregated data not provided or incomplete, but have been generated from the annual catch estimates and operational data made available by the Coastal States The 5°x5°/month Longline catch and effort data are not stratified by "Hooks between Floats"    PS by month by area of 1x1 and type of school association = n/a Include any SPC notes if needed, eg Aggregated data were not provided, but have been generated from Operational level catch and effort data submitted to the WCPFC.     *Reply on Question 10: China shall provide LL catch and effort data stratified by "Hooks between Floats" in the future. And we will also revise historical data with “Hooks between Floats” by end of the year. Reply on Question 18: We shall improve shark data quality in the future. Reply on Question 19: WE shall provide the data in the future. Reply on Question 20: WE shall provide the data in the next year.* | Assessment of Aggregated catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013) Were aggregated catch and effort data received by the deadline = YES  LL:  Distant-water longline fleet data do not cover the entire Pacific Ocean (required for stock assessments of certain species) **PS:  Aggregate data not provided or incomplete, but have been generated from annual catch estimates and operational data made available by the Coastal States**   **If coverage of operational level catch and effort data was not 100% were catch and effort data which were raised to represent total catch and effort data provided by each area of national jurisdiction and high seas within the WCPFC Statistical Area? = NO**   **Was data provided aggregated by areas under national jurisdiction and high seas within the Convention Area = NO**   **5x5 LL = SPC advised, in notes: 10. The 5°x5°/month Longline catch and effort data are not stratified by "Hooks between Floats" 18.Catches of KEY shark species have been provided, but (i) not all KEY SPECIES COVERED, and/or (ii) COVERAGE of shark species catches is considered LOW 19.Annual Catch and Effort estimates by areas of national jurisdiction (EEZs) and High Seas have NOT BEEN 20.Vessel numbers by YEAR, MONTH and AREA used to filter public domain data have NOT BEEN PROVIDED**   **1x1 PS = SPC advised, in notes: 6.catch/effort data are not stratified by the required categories of school association 8.No effort data provided 9.The data are aggregated by 5°x5° instead of 1°x1° 13.The estimation of bigeye in the reported yellowfin-plus-bigeye catch has not been undertaken in these data 19.Annual Catch and Effort estimates by areas of national jurisdiction (EEZs) and High Seas have NOT BEEN PROVIDED**   Details on Statistical methods used provided = N/A    Was information on operational changes in the fishery that are not attribute in the data provided? = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | Potential compliance issue |  |
| Ecuador |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   date of receipt = 8 June 2013   gear types covered = PS   Aggregated data not provided but have been generated from Operational level catch and effort data submitted to the WCPFC. | Assessment of Aggregated catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   **Were aggregated catch and effort data received by the deadline = NO** Aggregated data not provided but have been generated from Operational level catch and effort data submitted to the WCPFC.    If coverage of operational level catch and effort data was not 100% were catch and effort data which were raised to represent total catch and effort data provided by each area of national jurisdiction and high seas within the WCPFC Statistical Area? =  YES   Was data provided aggregated by areas under national jurisdiction and high seas within the Convention Area =YES   1x1 for PS = no issues detected    Details on Statistical methods used provided = N/A    Was information on operational changes in the fishery that are not attribute in the data provided? = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | No issues detected |  |
| Fiji |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   date of receipt = 30 Apr 2013 gear types covered = LL, PL   LL catch and effort by month and area of 5 x 5 = provided but Catches of KEY shark species have not been provided, but can potentially be estimated from observer data.   Aggregate data have been generated from annual catch estimates and operational data made available to the SPC by their member countries through national bilateral agreements or subregional arrangements   *Fiji provides all its catch and effort data to SPC at the operational level on a regular basis. Please note that Fiji uses the SPC/FFA standardised logsheet forms (revised November 2009 version) that lists the key shark species to be recorded. In addition, Fiji provides its Observer data to SPC that reports on shark catches in detail i.e. to the species level. Part I report lists the key shark species from logsheet and landing data raised to Observer data.* | Assessment of Aggregated catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013) Were aggregated catch and effort data received by the deadline = YES   Aggregate data have been generated from annual catch estimates and operational data made available to the SPC by their member countries through national bilateral agreements or subregional arrangement   If coverage of operational level catch and effort data was not 100% were catch and effort data which were raised to represent total catch and effort data provided by each area of national jurisdiction and high seas within the WCPFC Statistical Area? = YES    Was data provided aggregated by areas under national jurisdiction and high seas within the Convention Area =YES   **5x5 for LL = provided, but catches of key shark species have not been provided, but can potentially be estimated from observer data.**   Details on Statistical methods used provided = N/A    Was information on operational changes in the fishery that are not attribute in the data provided? = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | Potential compliance issue |  |
| Federated States of Micronesia |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   date of receipt = 30 Apr 2013 gear types covered = LL, PS   LL catch and effort by month and area of 5 x 5 = YES   PS by month by area of 1x1 and type of school association = YES   SPC advise: Catches of KEY shark species have not been provided, but can potentially be estimated from observer data.   Aggregate data have been generated from annual catch estimates and operational data made available to the SPC by their member countries through national bilateral agreements or subregional arrangements (e.g. the US Multilateral Purse Seine treaty managed by FFA). | Assessment of Aggregated catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   Were aggregated catch and effort data received by the deadline = YES  **Catches of KEY shark species have not been provided, but can potentially be estimated from observer data.**   If coverage of operational level catch and effort data was not 100% were catch and effort data which were raised to represent total catch and effort data provided by each area of national jurisdiction and high seas within the WCPFC Statistical Area? = YES    Was data provided aggregated by areas under national jurisdiction and high seas within the Convention Area =YES    **5 x5 for LL = SPC advised: Catches of KEY shark species have not been provided, but can potentially be estimated from observer data.** 1x1 for PS = no issues detected   Details on Statistical methods used provided = N/A    Was information on operational changes in the fishery that are not attribute in the data provided? = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | Potential compliance issue |  |
| Indonesia | If coverage rated of operational level catch and effort data is less than 100% catch and effort data aggregated by time period and geographic area.. • Potential implementation or compliance issue, please provide additional explanation. • Not implemented yet | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   date of receipt = not submitted   gear types covered = LL, PS, OT   LL catch and effort by month and area of 5 x 5 = NO   PS by month by area of 1x1 and type of school association =NO   other surface fishery targetting tuna by month and area of 1 x 1 = NO . | Assessment of Aggregated catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013) **Were aggregated catch and effort data received by the deadline = NO**   **If coverage of operational level catch and effort data was not 100% were catch and effort data which were raised to represent total catch and effort data provided by each area of national jurisdiction and high seas within the WCPFC Statistical Area? = NO**   **Was data provided aggregated by areas under national jurisdiction and high seas within the Convention Area = NO**   **5 x5 for LL = NO 1x1 for PS = NO 1x 1 for other surface = NO**   Details on Statistical methods used provided = N/A    Was information on operational changes in the fishery that are not attribute in the data provided? = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | Potential compliance issue |  |
| Japan |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   date of receipt =   27 Apr 2013 gear types covered =  PS, LL, PL, TR, OT   LL catch and effort by month and area of 5 x 5 = YES/NO/ n/a Include any SPC notes if needed, eg Aggregated data were not provided, but have been generated from Operational level catch and effort data submitted to the WCPFC.    PS by month by area of 1x1 and type of school association = n/a Include any SPC notes if needed, eg Aggregated data were not provided, but have been generated from Operational level catch and effort data submitted to the WCPFC.    other surface fishery targetting tuna by month and area of 1 x 1 = n/a Include any SPC notes if needed, eg Aggregated data were not provided, but have been generated from Operational level catch and effort data submitted to the WCPFC. | Assessment of Aggregated catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   Were aggregated catch and effort data received by the deadline = YES    Aggregated data were not provided, but have been generated from Operational level catch and effort data submitted to the WCPFC.    If coverage of operational level catch and effort data was not 100% were catch and effort data which were raised to represent total catch and effort data provided by each area of national jurisdiction and high seas within the WCPFC Statistical Area? = NO   Was data provided aggregated by areas under national jurisdiction and high seas within the Convention Area =NO   **5x5 for LL = SPC advised (see note 18, 19, 20 below)**   1x1 for PS = provided for PS-Distant water but not for coastal fleet.  **SPC advised (see note 19, 20 below)**   **18.  Catches of KEY shark species have been provided but (i) not all KEY SPECIES COVERED, and/or (ii) COVERAGE of shark species catch is considered LOW 19. Annual Catch and Effort estimates by areas of national jurisdiction (EEZs) and High Seas have NOT BEEN PROVIDED 20. Vessel numbers by YEAR, MONTH and AREA used to filter public domain data have NOT BEEN PROVIDED**   1 x 1 for other surface fisheries including pole and line and Troll = NO    Details on Statistical methods used provided =  N/A  Was information on operational changes in the fishery that are not attribute in the data provided? = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | Potential compliance issue |  |
| Kiribati |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   date of receipt = 30 Apr 2013 gear types covered = LL, PS   LL catch and effort by month and area of 5 x 5 = YES SPC advised, catches of KEY shark species have not been provided but can potentially be estimated from observer data   PS by month by area of 1x1 and type of school association = YES   SPC advise, Aggregate data have been generated from annual catch estimates and operational data made available to the SPC by their member countries through national bilateral agreements or subregional arrangements | Assessment of Aggregated catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013) Were aggregated catch and effort data received by the deadline = Aggregate data have been generated from annual catch estimates and operational data made available to the SPC by their member countries through national bilateral agreements or subregional arrangements    If coverage of operational level catch and effort data was not 100% were catch and effort data which were raised to represent total catch and effort data provided by each area of national jurisdiction and high seas within the WCPFC Statistical Area? = YES    Was data provided aggregated by areas under national jurisdiction and high seas within the Convention Area =YES    **5 x 5 LL = SPC advised, catches of KEY shark species have not been provided but can potentially be estimated from observer data**   individual sets by PS = no issues detected   Details on Statistical methods used provided = N/A    Was information on operational changes in the fishery that are not attribute in the data provided? = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | Potential compliance issue |  |
| Korea (Republic of) |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = 30 Apr 2013 gear types covered = LL, PS   LL catch and effort by month and area of 5 x 5 = SPC advises: >Unraised data stratified by 5x5, month and hooks between floats were also provided >DW LL fleet data do not cover the entire Pacific Ocean (required for stock assessments of certain species) >Catches of KEY shark species have been provided, but (i) not all KEY SPECIES COVERED, and/or (ii) COVERAGE of shark species catches is considered LOW. >Annual Catch and Effort estimates by areas of national jurisdiction (EEZs) and High Seas have NOT BEEN PROVIDED. >Vessel numbers by YEAR, MONTH and AREA used to filter public domain data have NOT BEEN PROVIDED   PS by month by area of 1x1 and type of school association = SPC advise: > The unit of effort is "days on which a set was made", rather than "days fished or searched". The estimation of bigeye in the reported yellowfin-plus-bigeye catch has not been undertaken in these data Annual Catch and Effort estimates by areas of national jurisdiction (EEZs) and High Seas have NOT BEEN PROVIDED.  *\* 5X5 for LL:  Korea is providing data collected from vessels operating in the Pacific Ocean including the EPO, so it should be "covered" not "not covered."   Korea submitted required data in April, 2013. Regarding shark species, the data were not fully collected because the vessels were in a transition period of implementing the newly established measure. The government is currently making effort to fill this data gap.    1X1 PS: Korea will submit data on "day on which a set was made" from next year.* | Assessment of Aggregated catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013) Were aggregated catch and effort data received by the deadline = YES    If coverage of operational level catch and effort data was not 100% were catch and effort data which were raised to represent total catch and effort data provided by each area of national jurisdiction and high seas within the WCPFC Statistical Area? = NO   Was data provided aggregated by areas under national jurisdiction and high seas within the Convention Area =YES / PARTIAL / NO/ N/A   **5x5 for LL = Unraised data stratified by 5x5, month and hooks between floats were also provided >DW LL fleet data do not cover the entire Pacific Ocean (required for stock assessments of certain species) >Catches of KEY shark species have been provided, but (i) not all KEY SPECIES COVERED, and/or (ii) COVERAGE of shark species catches is considered LOW. >Annual Catch and Effort estimates by areas of national jurisdiction (EEZs) and High Seas have NOT BEEN PROVIDED. >Vessel numbers by YEAR, MONTH and AREA used to filter public domain data have NOT BEEN PROVIDED**   **1 x 1 for PS = The unit of effort is "days on which a set was made", rather than "days fished or searched". The estimation of bigeye in the reported yellowfin-plus-bigeye catch has not been undertaken in these data Annual Catch and Effort estimates by areas of national jurisdiction (EEZs) and High Seas have NOT BEEN PROVIDED.**     Details on Statistical methods used provided = N/A  Was information on operational changes in the fishery that are not attribute in the data provided? = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | Potential compliance issue |  |
| Marshall Islands |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   date of receipt = 30 Apr 2013 gear types covered = LL, PS   LL catch and effort by month and area of 5 x 5 = YES SPC advised, Catches of KEY shark species have not been provided, but can potentially be estimated from observer data.    PS by month by area of 1x1 and type of school association = yes | Assessment of Aggregated catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013) Were aggregated catch and effort data received by the deadline = YES   Aggregate data have been generated from annual catch estimates and operational data made available to the SPC by their member countries through national bilateral agreements or subregional arrang   If coverage of operational level catch and effort data was not 100% were catch and effort data which were raised to represent total catch and effort data provided by each area of national jurisdiction and high seas within the WCPFC Statistical Area? = YES    Was data provided aggregated by areas under national jurisdiction and high seas within the Convention Area =YES    **5 x 5 for LL = SPC advised, Catches of KEY shark species have not been provided, but can potentially be estimated from observer data.**   1 x 1 for PS = no issues detected    Details on Statistical methods used provided = N/A    Was information on operational changes in the fishery that are not attribute in the data provided? = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | Potential compliance issue |  |
| New Caledonia |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   date of receipt = 30 April 2013 gear types covered = LL   LL catch and effort by month and area of 5 x 5 = YES | Assessment of Aggregated catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013) Were aggregated catch and effort data received by the deadline = YES     Aggregate data have been generated from annual catch estimates and operational data made available to the SPC by their member countries .   If coverage of operational level catch and effort data was not 100% were catch and effort data which were raised to represent total catch and effort data provided by each area of national jurisdiction and high seas within the WCPFC Statistical Area? = YES    Was data provided aggregated by areas under national jurisdiction and high seas within the Convention Area =YES    5x 5 for LL = no issues detected    Details on Statistical methods used provided = SPC advised N/A    Was information on operational changes in the fishery that are not attribute in the data provided? = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | No issues detected |  |
| New Zealand |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   date of receipt = 30 Apr 2013 gear types covered = LL, PL, HL, PS   LL catch and effort by month and area of 5 x 5 = YES SPC advise, Aggregate data not provided, but have been generated from Operational data submitted to the WCPFC.   PS by month by area of 1x1 and type of school association = YES   SPC advise, Aggregate data not provided, but have been generated from Operational data submitted to the WCPFC..    Catches of KEY shark species provided in their AGGREGATE data   other surface fishery targetting tuna by month and area of 1 x 1 = YES (Troll) | Assessment of Aggregated catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   Were aggregated catch and effort data received by the deadline = YES   **Aggregated data were not provided, but have been generated from Operational level catch and effort data submitted to the WCPFC**.    If coverage of operational level catch and effort data was not 100% were catch and effort data which were raised to represent total catch and effort data provided by each area of national jurisdiction and high seas within the WCPFC Statistical Area? = YES    Was data provided aggregated by areas under national jurisdiction and high seas within the Convention Area =YES    5x 5 for LL = provided and catches of KEY shark species provided in their AGGREGATE data 1 x 1 for PS = no issues detected   Details on Statistical methods used provided = N/A    Was information on operational changes in the fishery that are not attribute in the data provided? = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | No issues detected |  |
| French Polynesia |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   date of receipt = 30 Apr 2013 gear types covered = LL   LL catch and effort by month and area of 5 x 5 = YES Aggregate data have been generated from annual catch estimates and operational data made available to the SPC by theier member countries through national bilateral agreements or subregional arrangements | Assessment of Aggregated catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013) Were aggregated catch and effort data received by the deadline = YES   Aggregate data have been generated from annual catch estimates and operational data made available to the SPC by theier member countries through national bilateral agreements or subregional arrangements    If coverage of operational level catch and effort data was not 100% were catch and effort data which were raised to represent total catch and effort data provided by each area of national jurisdiction and high seas within the WCPFC Statistical Area? = YES    Was data provided aggregated by areas under national jurisdiction and high seas within the Convention Area =YES    Details on Statistical methods used provided = N/A    Was information on operational changes in the fishery that are not attribute in the data provided? = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | No issues detected |  |
| Papua New Guinea |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   date of receipt = 30 Apr 2013 gear types covered = LL, PS   LL catch and effort by month and area of 5 x 5 = YES .   PS by month by area of 1x1 and type of school association = yes   Aggregate data have been generated from annual catch estimates and operational data made available to the SPC by their member countries through national bilateral agreements or subregional arrangements   Catches of KEY shark species provided in their AGGREGATE data | Assessment of Aggregated catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013) Were aggregated catch and effort data received by the deadline = YES    Aggregate data have been generated from annual catch estimates and operational data made available to the SPC by their member countries through national bilateral agreements or subregional arrangements   If coverage of operational level catch and effort data was not 100% were catch and effort data which were raised to represent total catch and effort data provided by each area of national jurisdiction and high seas within the WCPFC Statistical Area? = YES   Was data provided aggregated by areas under national jurisdiction and high seas within the Convention Area =YES    5x5 for LL = no issues detected  1x1 for PS = no issues detected    SPC advise, Catches of KEY shark species provided in their AGGREGATE data   Details on Statistical methods used provided = SPC advised N/A    Was information on operational changes in the fishery that are not attribute in the data provided? = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | No issues detected |  |
| Philippines |  | SPC advice on submissions for 2012 (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt =    19 Apr, 20 May 2013  gear types covered =  PS, HL, RN, OT   LL catch and effort by month and area of 5 x 5 = not received   PS by month by area of 1x1 and type of school association = *SPC advised (email dated 5 Sept, 13), PH have provided SPC with a letter to authorize that all of their operational PS logsheet data that have, and will be, provided to SPC should be considered as provision to the WCPFC*   other surface fishery targetting tuna by month and area of 1 x 1 = not received   Ringnet by month by area of 1x1 and type of school association = not received   *Provisional catch estimates for 2012 by species was provided before 30 April 2013. While catch data by species and gear type was provided on a later date and is included in the Annual report Part 1 for PH domestic fleet and in HSP1. PH acknowkedge difficulties in providing aggregated catch and effort data. But PH is focused on improving its operational catch and effort data to comply with this requirement.* | Assessment of Aggregated catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013) **Were aggregated catch and effort data received by the deadline = NO**   **If coverage of operational level catch and effort data was not 100% were catch and effort data which were raised to represent total catch and effort data provided by each area of national jurisdiction and high seas within the WCPFC Statistical Area? = NO - data not yet received**   **Was data provided aggregated by areas under national jurisdiction and high seas within the Convention Area =NO 5x5 forLL = NO 1x 1 for PS = YES *SPC advised (email dated 5 Sept, 13), PH have provided SPC with a letter to authorize that all of their operational PS logsheet data that have, and will be, provided to SPC should be considered as provision to the WCPFC*** 1x1 for other surface fisheries = n/a   Details on Statistical methods used provided = N/A    Was information on operational changes in the fishery that are not attribute in the data provided? = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | Potential compliance issue |  |
| Solomon Islands |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   date of receipt = 30 Apr 2013 gear types covered = PS, LL, PL   LL catch and effort by month and area of 5 x 5 = NO PS by month by area of 1x1 and type of school association = YES other surface fishery targetting tuna by month and area of 1 x 1 = YES (pole and line) | Assessment of Aggregated catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013) Were aggregated catch and effort data received by the deadline = YES   Aggregated data have been generated from annual catch estimates and operational data made available to SPC by their member countries through national bilateral agreements or subregional arrangements.  If coverage of operational level catch and effort data was not 100% were catch and effort data which were raised to represent total catch and effort data provided by each area of national jurisdiction and high seas within the WCPFC Statistical Area? = YES    Was data provided aggregated by areas under national jurisdiction and high seas within the Convention Area =YES    **5 x5 for LL = provided, but pending resolution of attribution of catches according to charter arrangements**   **1 x 1 PS = provided, but catches of key shark species have been provided, but (i) not all Key shark species covered, and/or (ii) Coverage of shark species is considered Low.**   **1x 1 pole and line = provided, but catches of key shark species have been provided, but (i) not all Key shark species covered, and/or (ii) Coverage of shark species is considered Low.** Details on Statistical methods used provided = SPC advised N/A    Was information on operational changes in the fishery that are not attribute in the data provided? = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | Potential compliance issue |  |
| El Salvador |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   date of receipt =  23 Apr 2013 gear types covered = PS     PS by month by area of 1x1 and type of school association = n/a Include any SPC notes if needed, eg Aggregated data were not provided, but have been generated from Operational level catch and effort data submitted to the WCPFC. | Assessment of Aggregated catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   Were aggregated catch and effort data received by the deadline = YES Aggregated data were not provided, but have been generated from Operational level catch and effort data submitted to the WCPFC.    If coverage of operational level catch and effort data was not 100% were catch and effort data which were raised to represent total catch and effort data provided by each area of national jurisdiction and high seas within the WCPFC Statistical Area? = YES    Was data provided aggregated by areas under national jurisdiction and high seas within the Convention Area =YES    1x1  for PS = no issues detected   Details on Statistical methods used provided =  N/A    Was information on operational changes in the fishery that are not attribute in the data provided? = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | No issues detected |  |
| Tonga |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   date of receipt = 30 April 2013 gear types covered = LL   LL catch and effort by month and area of 5 x 5 = YES Aggregated data have been generated from Operational level catch and effort data made available to SPC by their member countries through national bilateral agreements or subregional arrangements. | Assessment of Aggregated catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013) Were aggregated catch and effort data received by the deadline = YES    If coverage of operational level catch and effort data was not 100% were catch and effort data which were raised to represent total catch and effort data provided by each area of national jurisdiction and high seas within the WCPFC Statistical Area? = YES    Was data provided aggregated by areas under national jurisdiction and high seas within the Convention Area =YES    LL = no issues detected.  Aggregated data have been generated from Operational level catch and effort data made available to SPC by their member countries through national bilateral agreements or subregional arrangements.    Details on Statistical methods used provided = N/A    Was information on operational changes in the fishery that are not attribute in the data provided? = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | No issues detected |  |
| Tuvalu |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   date of receipt = 30 April 2013 gear types covered = LL, PS     LL catch and effort by month and area of 5 x 5 = YES Aggregated data have been generated from Operational level catch and effort data made available to SPC by their member countries through national bilateral agreements or subregional arrangements.    PS by month by area of 1x1 and type of school association = YES Aggregated data have been generated from Operational level catch and effort data made available to SPC by their member countries through national bilateral agreements or subregional arrangements.     other surface fishery targeting tuna by month and area of 1 x 1 = YES (Troll) | Assessment of Aggregated catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013) Were aggregated catch and effort data received by the deadline = YES   Aggregated data were not provided, but have been generated from Operational level catch and effort data made available to the SPC by their member countries through national bilateral agreements or subregional arrangements.     If coverage of operational level catch and effort data was not 100% were catch and effort data which were raised to represent total catch and effort data provided by each area of national jurisdiction and high seas within the WCPFC Statistical Area? = YES   Was data provided aggregated by areas under national jurisdiction and high seas within the Convention Area =YES    5x5 for LL = provided, but catches of key shark species have not been provided, but can potentially be estimated from observer data.   1 x 1 for PS = no issues detected    some gaps in TROLL fisheries, but probably small-scale domestic coastal fisheries so not evaluated    Details on Statistical methods used provided = SPC advises: N/A    Was information on operational changes in the fishery that are not attribute in the data provided? = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | No issues detected |  |
| Chinese Taipei |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   date of receipt =   30 Apr 2013 gear types covered =  LL, PS   LL catch and effort by month and area of 5 x 5 = SPC advise: > Unraised data stratified by 5°x5°, month and hooks between floats were also provided; > Distant-water longline fleet data do not cover the entire Pacific Ocean (required for stock assessments of certain species);     > Vessel numbers per Month and Area provided;   > Catches of KEY shark species provided in their AGGREGATE data;  > Annual Catch and Effort estimates by areas of national jurisdiction (EEZs) and High Seas have NOT BEEN PROVIDED.   PS by month by area of 1x1 and type of school association = SPC advise: > The estimation of bigeye in the reported yellowfin-plus-bigeye catch has not been undertaken in these data > Annual Catch and Effort estimates by areas of national jurisdiction (EEZs) and High Seas have NOT BEEN PROVIDED   *Please be advised that we have already provided the Task2 data. We would like to request the assistance of Scientific Service Provider by providing the nautical chart software which is essential to provide the data in each area of national jurisdiction and high seas within the WCPFC Statistical Area.* | Assessment of Aggregated catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013) Were aggregated catch and effort data received by the deadline = YES    **If coverage of operational level catch and effort data was not 100% were catch and effort data which were raised to represent total catch and effort data provided by each area of national jurisdiction and high seas within the WCPFC Statistical Area? = NO**   **Was data provided aggregated by areas under national jurisdiction and high seas within the Convention Area = NO SPC advise, Annual Catch and Effort estimates by areas of national jurisdiction (EEZs) and High Seas have NOT BEEN PROVIDED.**   5x5 for LL = no issues detected    1x1 for PS = no issues detected    Details on Statistical methods used provided = N/A    Was information on operational changes in the fishery that are not attribute in the data provided? = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | Potential compliance issue |  |
| United States of America |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   date of receipt = 29/30 April 2013, with update confirmed on 5th Sept gear types covered = LL, PS, TR   LL catch and effort by month and area of 5 x 5 = YES National legislation or policy requires that time/area strata comprising data for less than three vessels cannot be disseminated. Catches of key shark species were provided   PS by month by area of 1x1 and type of school association = YES Aggregate data have been generated from annual catch estimates and operational data made available to the SPC by their member countries through national bilateral agreements or subregional arrangements (eg Multilateral Purse Seine Treaty managed by FFA).   other surface fishery targetting tuna by month and area of 1 x 1 = YES (troll)   *Hawaii LL operational data for 2012 is under review. Preliminary data will be submitted in advance of TCC.(SPC advised this has been provided)* | Assessment of Aggregated catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013) Were aggregated catch and effort data received by the deadline = YES   If coverage of operational level catch and effort data was not 100% were catch and effort data which were raised to represent total catch and effort data provided by each area of national jurisdiction and high seas within the WCPFC Statistical Area? = YES for Am Samoa LL, YES for PS and YES forTroll, but NO for Hawaii LL    Was data provided aggregated by areas under national jurisdiction and high seas within the Convention Area =YES for Am Samoa LL, YES for PS and YES forTroll   National legislation or policy requires that time/area strata comprising data for less than three vessels cannot be disseminated  **5x5 for LL = *SPC advised (5 Sept) that US have provided 2012 US/HW LL operational data*** 1x1 for PS = no issues detected  1x1 for other surface fisheries troll = no issues detected     Details on Statistical methods used provided = SPC advised: N/A    Was information on operational changes in the fishery that are not attribute in the data provided? = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | No issues detected |  |
| Vanuatu |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   date of receipt = 30 April 2013 gear types covered = LL, PS   LL catch and effort by month and area of 5 x 5 = YES Aggregated data have been generated from annual catch estimates and operational data made available to SPC by their member countries through national bilateral agreements or subregional arrangements.   Estimates of key sharks species have not been provided, but can potentially be estimated from observer data.     PS by month by area of 1x1 and type of school association = YES Aggregated data have been generated from annual catch estimates and operational data made available to SPC by their member countries through national bilateral agreements or subregional arrangements. | Assessment of Aggregated catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013) Were aggregated catch and effort data received by the deadline = YES  Aggregated data have been generated from annual catch estimates and operational data made available to SPC by their member countries through national bilateral agreements or subregional arrangements.   If coverage of operational level catch and effort data was not 100% were catch and effort data which were raised to represent total catch and effort data provided by each area of national jurisdiction and high seas within the WCPFC Statistical Area? = YES    Was data provided aggregated by areas under national jurisdiction and high seas within the Convention Area =YES    5x5 for LL = Estimates of key sharks species have not been provided, but can potentially be estimated from observer data.     1x1 for PS = no issues detected      Details on Statistical methods used provided = N/A    Was information on operational changes in the fishery that are not attribute in the data provided? = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | No issues detected |  |
| Samoa |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   date of receipt = 19 Apr 2013 gear types covered = LL    LL catch and effort by month and area of 5 x 5 = YES   *KEY Shark Species for 2012 reported in Samoa Part 1, (Table 3, pg 7-8 Samoa Science Report to WCPFC) in total of 1.093 MT. Samoa with the assistance of SPC/FFA/WCPFC has in plan to increase the observer coverage and by-catch reporting of national long-line fleets to 5% Samoa request SPC to provide estimates of key shark species using observer data, including for 2012, to both the Member and WCPFC.* | Assessment of Aggregated catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013) Were aggregated catch and effort data received by the deadline = YES   Aggregate data have been generated from annual catch estimates and operational data made available to the SPC by their member countries through national bilateral agreements or subregional arrangements (e.g. the US Multilateral Purse Seine treaty managed by FFA).   If coverage of operational level catch and effort data was not 100% were catch and effort data which were raised to represent total catch and effort data provided by each area of national jurisdiction and high seas within the WCPFC Statistical Area? = YES    Was data provided aggregated by areas under national jurisdiction and high seas within the Convention Area =YES    **5x5 for LL = provided, but SPC advised Catches of KEY shark species have not been provided, but can potentially be estimated from observer data.**   Details on Statistical methods used provided = SPC advised: N/A    Was information on operational changes in the fishery that are not attribute in the data provided? = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | Potential compliance issue |  |
| European Union |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   date of receipt : Portugal LL = 25 May 2013 Span LL = 18 May 2013 Spain PS = 30 Apr 2012 gear types covered = LL, PS   LL catch and effort by month and area of 5 x 5 = NO 1. The catch data are in units of weight (kgs or metric tonnes) only, rather than both numbers of fish and weight. 8. No effort data provided 10. The 5°x5°/month Longline catch and effort data are not stratified by "Hooks between Floats" 12. No breakdown of Billfish species catch provided 18. Catches of KEY shark species have been provided, but (i) not all KEY SPECIES COVERED, and/or (ii) COVERAGE of shark species catches is considered LOW.   PS by month by area of 1x1 and type of school association = YES Aggregate data not provided but have been generated from Operational data submitted to the WCPFC     *Regarding effort data, "Hooks per set" have been provided for 2012.* | Assessment of Aggregated catch and effort data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013) **Were aggregated catch and effort data received by the deadline = NO for LL fleet**   Aggregated data were not provided, but have been generated from Operational level catch and effort data submitted to the WCPFC.    If coverage of operational level catch and effort data was not 100% were catch and effort data which were raised to represent total catch and effort data provided by each area of national jurisdiction and high seas within the WCPFC Statistical Area? = YES (for Spanish fleet but see SPC advise below)   Was data provided aggregated by areas under national jurisdiction and high seas within the Convention Area =YES (for Spanish fleet but see SPC advise below)   **5x5 for LL = SPC advised**: **1. The catch data are in units of weight (kgs or metric tonnes) only, rather than both numbers of fish and weight.**   **8. No effort data provided**   **10. The 5°x5°/month Longline catch and effort data are not stratified by "Hooks between Floats"**   **12. No breakdown of Billfish species catch provided**   **18. Catches of KEY shark species have been provided, but (i) not all KEY SPECIES COVERED, and/or (ii) COVERAGE of shark species catches is considered LOW.**   1x1 for PS = no issues detected   Details on Statistical methods used provided = N/A    Was information on operational changes in the fishery that are not attribute in the data provided? = No information was available to WCPFC at the time of preparing dCMR to assess implementation of this requirement | Potential compliance issue |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **SciData 05**  **(v) Provision of Scientific data and Annual Report Part 1** | Size composition data |

| **Applicable CCMs** | **2011 Assessment** | **2012 Implementation** | **SPC Advice (Evaluation Explanation Column)** | **Potential issues** | **TCC Assessment** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Australia |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) date of receipt = 30 April 2013 gear types covered = LL species covered = BET, YFT BILLFISH  *Australia collects weight data and therefore provides weight classes and counts of species caught, which can be converted to length frequencies. Please note that Australia didn’t catch skipjack in 2012.* | Assessment of Size composition data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   Were size composition data received by the deadline = YES skj 1cm intervals = n/a ALB 1cm intervals = Not provided **YFT 2 cm intervals = Provided, but weight frequency only BET 2cm intervals = Provided, but weight frequency only billfish 5 cm intervals = Not provided**   Details on Statistical and sampling methods used = N/A | Potential compliance issue |  |
| Belize |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   Date of receipt = 1 May 2013 gear types covered = LL     *This information could not be provided to the Commission because Belize currently does not have an Observer program. As such, Belize is awaiting the passage of our new Act which will provide the legal framework to implement such a program and further will enable Belize to collaborate with established organizations such as CapFish to create this program. Once the program has been established, it will set the groundwork for Belize to have Observers on board their vessels to record these scientific data as required by the Commission.* | **Assessment of Size composition data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013) Were size composition data received by the deadline =  NO**   skj 1cm intervals = SPC advised N/A   **ALB 1cm intervals = SPC advised, not provided**   **YFT 2 cm intervals = SPC advised, not provided**   **BET 2cm intervals = SPC advised, not provided**   **billfish 5 cm intervals = SPC advised, not provided**   Details on Statistical and sampling methods used = N/A | Potential compliance issue |  |
| Cook Islands |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   Date of receipt = 19 Apr 2013 gear types covered = list gears in summary table   Species covered = ALB, BET, YFT, Billfish | Assessment of Size composition data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013) Were size composition data received by the deadline = YES   ALB 1cm intervals = no issues detected  YFT 2 cm intervals = no issues detected  BET 2cm intervals = no issues detected **Billfish 5 cm intervals = NO** Details on Statistical and sampling methods used =  N/A | Potential compliance issue |  |
| China |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   Date of receipt = 28 Apr 2013 gear types covered = LL, PS   Species covered: LL = ALB, BET, YFT, BILLFISH PS = SKJ, YFT, BET | Assessment of Size composition data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013) Were size composition data received by the deadline = YES   skj 1cm intervals = no issues detected SPC advised: provided by Coastal State   ALB 1cm intervals = no issues detected SPC advised: provided by Coastal State   YFT 2 cm intervals = no issues detected  SPC advised: provided by Coastal State   BET 2cm intervals = no issues detected  SPC advised: provided by Coastal State   billfish 5 cm intervals = no issues detected SPC advised: provided by Coastal State   Details on Statistical and sampling methods used = N/A | No issues detected |  |
| Ecuador |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   Date of receipt = 8 June 2013   gear types covered = PS   Species covered = SKJ, YFT, BET | Assessment of Size composition data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013) **Were size composition data received by the deadline = NO**   skj 1cm intervals = no issues detected  **SPC advised: provided by Coastal State**   ALB 1cm intervals = N/A   **YFT 2 cm intervals = no issues detected  SPC advised: provided by Coastal State**   **BET 2cm intervals = no issues detected / n/a /  SPC advised: provided by Coastal State**   billfish 5 cm intervals = N/A   Details on Statistical and sampling methods used =  N/A | Potential compliance issue |  |
| Fiji |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   date of receipt = 30 Apr 2013 gear types covered = LL, PL   Species covered = ALB, BET, YFT, Billfish | Assessment of Size composition data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013) Were size composition data received by the deadline = YES/   skj 1cm intervals = n/a ALB 1cm intervals = no issues detected  YFT 2 cm intervals = no issues detected  BET 2cm intervals = no issues detected  billfish 5 cm intervals = no issues detected    Details on Statistical and sampling methods used = N/A | No issues detected |  |
| Federated States of Micronesia |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   date of receipt = 30 Apr 2013 gear types covered = LL, PS   Species covered = ALB, BET, YFT, SKJ, Billfish | Assessment of Size composition data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013) Were size composition data received by the deadline = YES   skj 1cm intervals = no issues detected   ALB 1cm intervals = no (for LL) YFT 2 cm intervals = no issues detected  BET 2cm intervals = no isse detected billfish 5 cm intervals = no (for LL)   Details on Statistical and sampling methods used = N/A | No issues detected |  |
| Indonesia | Size composition data • Request additional information • It is submitted in the Annual Report Part 1 | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   Date of receipt = 30 Apr, 20 June 2013  gear types covered = LL, PS, OT   Species covered = ALB, BET, YFT, Billfish, SKJ | Assessment of Size composition data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013) Were size composition data received by the deadline = YES/ NO / N/A   skj 1cm intervals = no issues detected   ALB 1cm intervals = N/A YFT 2 cm intervals = no issues detected   BET 2cm intervals = no issues detected  billfish 5 cm intervals = no issues detected    Details on Statistical and sampling methods used = N/A | No issues detected |  |
| Japan |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   Date of receipt = 27 Apr 2013 gear types covered = PS, LL, PL, TR, OT   Species covered = ALB, BET, YFT, Billfish, SKJ | Assessment of Size composition data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013) Were size composition data received by the deadline = YES   **skj 1cm intervals = provided for the PS distant water fleet but not for the coastal fleet**   **ALB 1cm intervals = NO YFT 2 cm intervals = provided for the PS distant water fleet but not for the coastal fleet**   **BET 2cm intervals = provided for the PS distant water fleet but not for the coastal fleet**   **billfish 5 cm intervals = NO**   Details on Statistical and sampling methods used =  N/A | Potential compliance issue |  |
| Kiribati |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   Date of receipt = 30 Apr 2013 gear types covered = LL, PS   Species covered = ALB, BET, YFT, Bilfish | Assessment of Size composition data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013) Were size composition data received by the deadline = YES   skj 1cm intervals = no issues detected YFT 1 cm intervals = NO BET 1cm intervals = NO   **LL: ALB 1cm intervals = NO YFT 2 cm intervals = NO BET 2cm intervals = NO billfish 5 cm intervals = NO** (no size data for LL)   Details on Statistical and sampling methods used = N/A | Potential compliance issue |  |
| Korea (Republic of) |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   Date of receipt =30 Apr 2013 gear types covered = list gears in summary table   Species covered = ALB, BET, YFT, SKJ, Billfin | Assessment of Size composition data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013) Were size composition data received by the deadline = YES   skj 1cm intervals = no issues detected  ALB 1cm intervals = no issues detected YFT 2 cm intervals = no issues detected  BET 2cm intervals = no issues detected billfish 5 cm intervals = no issues detected   Details on Statistical and sampling methods used =  N/A | No issues detected |  |
| Marshall Islands |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   Date of receipt = 30 Apr 2013 gear types covered = LL, PS   Species covered = ALB, BET, YFT, Billfish, SKJ | Assessment of Size composition data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013) Were size composition data received by the deadline = YES   skj 1cm intervals = no issues detected   ALB 1cm intervals = no issues detected   YFT 2 cm intervals = no issues detected   BET 2cm intervals = no issues detected   billfish 5 cm intervals = no issues detected   Details on Statistical and sampling methods used = N/A | No issues detected |  |
| New Caledonia |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   date of receipt = 30 April 2013 gear types covered = LL   Species covered = ALB, BET, YFT, Billfish | Assessment of Size composition data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013) Were size composition data received by the deadline = YES   skj 1cm intervals = n/a ALB 1cm intervals = no issues detected YFT 2 cm intervals = no issues detected BET 2cm intervals = no issues detected billfish 5 cm intervals = no issues detected   Details on Statistical and sampling methods used = N/A | No issues detected |  |
| New Zealand |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   date of receipt = 30 Apr 2013 gear types covered = LL, PS, TR | Assessment of Size composition data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013) Were size composition data received by the deadline = YES   skj 1cm intervals = no issues detected  ALB 1cm intervals = no issues detected YFT 2 cm intervals = no issues detected BET 2cm intervals = no issues detected  billfish 5 cm intervals = no issues detected   Details on Statistical and sampling methods used = N/A | No issues detected |  |
| French Polynesia |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   Date of receipt = 30 Apr 2013 gear types covered = LL   Species covered = ALB, BET, YFT, Billfish | Assessment of Size composition data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013) Were size composition data received by the deadline = YES   ALB 1cm intervals = no issues detected YFT 2 cm intervals = no issues detected  BET 2cm intervals = no issues detected  billfish 5 cm intervals = no issues detected    Details on Statistical and sampling methods used = N/A | No issues detected |  |
| Papua New Guinea |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   Date of receipt = 30 Apr 2013 gear types covered = LL, PS   Species covered = ALB, BET, YFT, Billfish, SKJ | Assessment of Size composition data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013) Were size composition data received by the deadline = YES   skj 1cm intervals = no issues detected ALB 1cm intervals = no issues detected  YFT 2 cm intervals = no issues detected  BET 2cm intervals = no issues detected  billfish 5 cm intervals = no issues detected    Details on Statistical and sampling methods used =  N/A | No issues detected |  |
| Philippines |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   Date of receipt = 19 Apr, 20 May 2013 gear types covered =  PS, HL, RN, OT   Species covered =SKJ, YFT, BET   *PH is able to provide size data gathered through port sampling activities for those catches landed in Philippines. Since PH-LL vessels do not land in PH ports, size data for PH-LL vessels are not available unless these are covered by the ROP. If these is covered by the ROP, PH still do not have access to these data. PH only have access to observer data gathered under the PH national observer program.* | Assessment of Size composition data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013) Were size composition data received by the deadline = YES    skj 1cm intervals = no issues detected  YFT 2 cm intervals = no issue detected (for PS) BET 2cm intervals = no issue detected (for PS)   **no size composition data provided for other gear types, including longline**   Details on Statistical and sampling methods used =  N/A | Potential compliance issue |  |
| Solomon Islands |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   Date of receipt = 30 April 2013 gear types covered = LL, PS, PL   Species covered =SKJ, YFT, BET, | Assessment of Size composition data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013) Were size composition data received by the deadline = YES   **skj 1cm intervals = provided for PS, not for Pole and line** ALB 1cm intervals = n/a  YFT 2 cm intervals = no issues detected  BET 2cm intervals = no issues detected  billfish 5 cm intervals = n/a    Details on Statistical and sampling methods used = spc advised: N/A | Potential compliance issue |  |
| El Salvador | Size composition: SPC advises that 2001-2011 collection status is unknown. • More information needed | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013) Date of receipt = provided by Coastal States   gear types covered =PS   Species covered = SKJ, YFT BET   *El Salvador does not register the length size of tuna into the disembark, we will implement this method, but from the next compliance report we can establish this information. Notwithstanding, our office take the data classifying the species by weight when the disembark occur, the percentages of tunas captures in 2012 were:* | Assessment of Size composition data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   **Were size composition data received by the deadline = NO (provided by Coastal States)**   **skj 1cm intervals = SPC advised: provided by Coastal States   YFT 2 cm intervals = SPC advised: provided by Coastal States   BET 2cm intervals = SPC advised: provided by Coastal States**   Details on Statistical and sampling methods used = N/A | Potential compliance issue |  |
| Tonga |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   Date of receipt = 30 April 2013 gear types covered = LL Species covered = ALB, BET, YFT, Billfish, SKJ | Assessment of Size composition data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013) Were size composition data received by the deadline = YES   skj 1cm intervals = n/a  ALB 1cm intervals = no issues detected  YFT 2 cm intervals = no issues detected  BET 2cm intervals = no issues detected  billfish 5 cm intervals = no issues detected   Details on Statistical and sampling methods used = SPC advice N/A | No issues detected |  |
| Tuvalu |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   Date of receipt = 30 April 2013 gear types covered = PS and LL Species covered = ALB, BET, Billfish, SKJ, YFT | Assessment of Size composition data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013) Were size composition data received by the deadline = YES   skj 1cm intervals = no issues detected ALB 1cm intervals = no issues detected  YFT 2 cm intervals = no issues detected  BET 2cm intervals = no issues detected  billfish 5 cm intervals = no issues detected    some gaps in TROLL fisheries, but probably small-scale domestic coastal fisheries so not evaluated   Details on Statistical and sampling methods used = no issues detected/ Not provided / N/A | No issues detected |  |
| Chinese Taipei |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   date of receipt =   provided *for distant water longline fleets and domestically based fleet, but purse seine and SPC based longline fleets provided*by Coastal States gear types covered =  LL, PS   Species covered = ALB, BET, YFT, Billfish, SKJ   *Please be advised that we have already submitted all the available size composition data collected by us to the Secretariat as per the Scientific Data to be provided to the Commission. As for the size composition data of purse seiners, please be advised that the observers are 100% from PNA. We have accessed no data of our purse seines from the Secretariat and Scientific Service Provider even the Commission adopted the data rules. Those data provided by coastal data may be regarded as supplemental information.* | Assessment of Size composition data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   *[some edits were included on August 9 by WCPFC to clarify  the evaluation that was made here]*  **Were size composition data received by the deadline = SPC advise, *provided for distant water longline fleets and domestically based fleet,* but purse seine and SPC-based longline data were only provided by Coastal States**   **skj 1cm intervals = SPC advised purse-seine were only provided by Coastal States**   **ALB 1cm intervals = no issues for distant water longline, *but SPC advised offshore longline fleet operating in SPC members were only* provided by Coastal States.  Not provided for offshore domestically based fleet.**   **YFT 2 cm intervals = no issues for distant water longline and offshore domestically based fleet, but SPC advised *offshore longline fleets operating in SPC members and purse-seine* were only provided by Coastal States**   **BET 2cm intervals = no issues for distant water longline and offshore domestically based fleet, *but SPC advised offshore longline fleets operating in SPC members and purse-seine* were only provided by Coastal States**   **billfish 5 cm intervals = no issues for distant water longline and offshore domestically based fleet, *but SPC advised offshore longline fleet operating in SPC members,* were only provided by Coastal States**   Details on Statistical and sampling methods used = N/A | Potential compliance issue |  |
| United States of America |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   Date of receipt = 29/30 April 2013 gear types covered = LL, PS, TR   Species covered = ALB, BET, YFT, Billfish, SKJ   *No size composition data for albacore were provided for HI Tropical Troll as this fishery does not catch albacore.* | Assessment of Size composition data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013)   Were size composition data received by the deadline = YES   skj 1cm intervals = no issues detected  **ALB 1cm intervals = SPC advised: size composition provided for LL but not provided for Troll (see response)** YFT 2 cm intervals = no issues detected  BET 2cm intervals = no issues detected  billfish 5 cm intervals = no issues detected    Details on Statistical and sampling methods used = SPC advised: N/A | No issues detected |  |
| Vanuatu |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   Date of receipt = 30 April 2013 gear types covered = LL, PS   Species covered = ALB, BET, YFT, Billfish, SKJ, | Assessment of Size composition data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013) Were size composition data received by the deadline = YES   skj 1cm intervals = no issues detected  ALB 1cm intervals = no issues detected  YFT 2 cm intervals = no issues detected  BET 2cm intervals = no issues detected  billfish 5 cm intervals = no issues detected   Details on Statistical and sampling methods used = SPC advised N/A | No issues detected |  |
| Samoa |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   date of receipt = 19 Apr 2013 gear types covered = LL    Species covered = ALB, BET, YFT, Billfish | Assessment of Size composition data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013) Were size composition data received by the deadline = YES   skj 1cm intervals = n/a ALB 1cm intervals = no issues detected  YFT 2 cm intervals = no issues detected  BET 2cm intervals = no issues detected  billfish 5 cm intervals = no issues detected    Details on Statistical and sampling methods used =  N/A | No issues detected |  |
| European Union |  | SPC advice (as at 17 July 2013)   date of receipt : no size data for LL fleet and SPC advise, PS size composition data was provided by the Coastal States   Species covered =SKJ, YFT, BET | Assessment of Size composition data submission for 2012 (based on SPC advice and associated notes as at 17 July 2013) **Were size composition data received by the deadline = NO**   **no size data for LL fleet and SPC advise, PS size composition data was provided by the Coastal States (see below) skj 1cm intervals =  provided by Coastal States YFT 1 cm intervals = provided by Coastal States BET 1cm intervals = provided by Coastal States**   Details on Statistical and sampling methods used = N/A | Potential compliance issue |  |